



# *Ada County, Idaho*

**Report on Youth Homelessness:  
Findings from the Youth Count, Brief Youth  
Survey, and Provider Survey**

---

## Youth Homelessness in Ada County, Idaho: Findings from the Youth Count, Brief Youth Survey and Provider Survey

### Recommended Citation

Chapin Hall at the University of Chicago. (2017, September). *Youth homelessness in Ada County, Idaho: Findings from the youth count, brief youth survey, and provider survey*. Technical report from the Voices of Youth Count Initiative. Chicago, IL: Chapin Hall at the University of Chicago.

©2017 Chapin Hall at the University of Chicago  
1313 East 60th Street  
Chicago, IL 60637

For more information about this report, please contact us at: [voyc@chapinhall.org](mailto:voyc@chapinhall.org)

ISSN:1097-3125

---

### Acknowledgments

This report is the result of a collaboration involving the VoYC Policy and Research Team from Chapin Hall and many partners. These partners include the VoYC Technical Advisors who provided critical guidance on research methodology, Applied Survey Research, Inc., which was instrumental in implementing the youth counts, and hundreds of youth, service providers, government agencies, educational institutions, individuals, and organizations in the 22 VoYC partner communities. In particular, we thank the leadership and staff of Boise State University for their valuable time and partnership on this project.

This report was made possible with generous support from Arcus Foundation, Ballmer Group Philanthropy, Champion Foundation, Casey Family Programs, Chapin Hall, Dr. Inger Davis, Elton John AIDS Foundation, Melville Charitable Trust, Raikes Foundation, and the US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). Support from HUD was funded through a grant from HUD's Office of Policy Development and Research (PD&R).

The substance and findings of the work are dedicated to the public. Chapin Hall is solely responsible for the accuracy of the opinions, statements, and interpretations contained in this publication and do not necessarily reflect the views of the government or any of Chapin Hall's other partners.

---

# Executive Summary

Voices of Youth Count (VoYC) is a national, multicomponent research initiative focused on the experiences of runaway, homeless, and unstably housed youth. VoYC aims to accelerate progress toward ending youth homelessness by filling critical knowledge gaps. More and better evidence is needed to inform federal, state, and local policy and improve communities' response to this national problem. This work also builds a foundation for future research.

VoYC partnered with 22 counties across the US. The counties were selected using a stratified random sampling approach that was designed to ensure geographic diversity as well as variation in population density and homeless youth services infrastructure. Ada County is one of the counties that was selected.

Together with its county partners, VoYC has engaged in a variety of research activities. This report presents results from three of those activities: the Youth Count, the Brief Youth Survey, and the Provider Survey. The purpose of the Youth Count and Brief Youth Survey, which were conducted in Ada County on June 7, 2016, was to produce a point-in-time estimate of the size of Ada County's homeless and unstably housed youth population and to collect information about the characteristics and experiences of those youth. The purpose of the Provider Survey, which was launched on July 5, 2016, was to gather information about the services available to runaway and homeless youth (RHY) in Ada County, including how those services are funded, and to identify any gaps in service provision. We also provide county-level data on the number of homeless students enrolled in Ada County schools.

## Key Findings

- On a single night in Ada County in June 2016, there was an overall count of 77 homeless and unstably housed youth, ages 13 to 25 years old. This report discusses strengths, limitations, and context of the count.
- Fifty-six percent of the surveyed homeless and unstably housed youth were sheltered the night before the count and 21 percent were unsheltered.
- Twenty-three percent of the surveyed homeless and unstably housed youth were 13 to 17 years old.
- Black or African American and multiracial youth were overrepresented among the Ada County population of homeless or unstably housed youth. Six percent of the surveyed youth identified as Black or African American and 11 percent as multiracial, yet they represented only 1 percent and 3 percent, respectively, of the overall Ada County population.
- Forty-nine percent of the surveyed homeless and unstably housed 16- to 24-year-olds in Ada County were neither in school nor working, as compared to 12 percent of all 16- to 24-year-olds in Ada County.
- Forty-five percent of Ada County homeless and unstably housed youth had either been in foster care or spent time in juvenile detention or jail or prison only but 24 percent had experienced both.
- The RHY-run transitional housing and supportive housing programs have a combined capacity to serve just 21 youth at a time and only serve youth over age 18.
- Analysis of homeless student enrollment data indicate that there were 205 unaccompanied students reported in Ada County public schools during the 2014-15 school year.

Twenty-three percent of the youth surveyed were 13 to 17 years old, making the Ada County youth younger, on average, than the youth surveyed in the other medium-sized VoYC counties. That the only emergency shelter in Ada County run by an RHY provider for youth under age 18 reported both having a waiting list and having to turn youth away during the past year, that so few other providers serve youth under age 18, and that the Ada County school districts identified 205 unaccompanied students<sup>1</sup> during the 2014-15 school year, points to a significant gap in services for younger youth.

---

<sup>1</sup> Schools count a child, regardless of age, as an unaccompanied youth if the child is living with a caretaker who is not the child's parent or legal guardian.

The 18- to 25-year-olds in Ada County were more likely to be sheltered than their peers in the other medium-sized counties or in the 22-county aggregate sample. The fact that the RHY-run transitional housing and supportive housing programs have a combined capacity to serve just 21 youth at a time, and that there is no RHY-run emergency shelter that serves youth over age 18, indicates that youth are turning to the homeless adult provider system if they are in need of shelter. This suggests a need for collaboration between the homeless youth sector and the homeless adult sector to ensure that youth can access developmentally appropriate services.

Forty-nine percent of the Ada County homeless or unstably housed 16- to 24-year-olds were neither attending school nor employed, compared to 12 percent of all 16- to 24-year-olds in Ada County.<sup>2</sup> Although we do not know why these young people were not working or in school, their lack of education and employment could be a barrier not only to finding and maintaining housing, but also to their well-being in other domains.

Education and employment services are available for homeless and unstably housed youth in Ada County. Both of the RHY providers and most of the youth-serving organizations that completed the Provider Survey indicated that they offer those services. However, only 14 percent of young people ages 18 to 25 indicated they were attending school and only 32 percent indicated they were employed. This reinforces the importance of supports for market-relevant skills and employment services and education services to help this population achieve sustained exits from homelessness and to contribute productively to the local economy.

Finally, 69 percent of the Ada County homeless and unstably housed youth reported having spent time in juvenile detention, jail, or prison; in foster care; or both, making them far more likely to have been in foster care or in detention, jail, or prison than their peers in the general population. Greater cross-sector investment and collaboration are clearly needed to ensure successful transitions for young people exiting the child welfare and juvenile or criminal justice systems.

These data from the Youth Count, Brief Youth Survey, and Provider Survey can be used by local communities to support the mobilization of a coordinated, system-level response involving a broad array of service providers and range of service options that can address the diverse needs of this vulnerable population. They can also inform the development of federal, state, and local policies to prevent and end youth homelessness. Other VoYC research components will shed further light on the impact of federal, state, and local policies on the ability of communities like Ada County to address those needs, the life trajectories of

---

<sup>2</sup> Because the Brief Youth Survey was administered during the summer months, and some youth who were enrolled in school may have responded “no” to the school attendance question, these percentages may overestimate the percentage of 16- to 24-year-olds who were disconnected.

youth experiencing homelessness or housing instability, and interventions that have been shown to improve runaway and homeless youth outcomes.

---

## Table of Contents

Executive Summary .....	i
Background .....	1
Definition of Homeless or Unstably Housed Youth.....	2
Research Questions .....	2
Partnerships .....	3
Site Selection.....	3
Ada County.....	5
Local Context .....	5
Youth Count and Brief Youth Survey.....	6
Methods .....	6
Ada County Youth Count Context.....	8
Ada County Results .....	8
Provider Survey.....	23
Methods .....	23
Ada County Provider Survey Context .....	23
Ada County Results .....	24
McKinney-Vento School Data.....	32
Discussion.....	34
Conclusion .....	36
Appendix A. Tally Sheet.....	37
Appendix B. Brief Youth Survey.....	38
Appendix C. Ada County Brief Youth Survey Data Tables .....	40
VoYC Brief Youth Survey Sample .....	40
Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth .....	41
Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth by Age.....	45
Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth by Race/Ethnicity .....	48



Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth by Gender Identity .....	50
Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth by Sexual Orientation .....	52
Education and Employment of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth .....	53
Systems Involvement among of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth .....	54
Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth by Pregnant or Parenting Status .....	54
Appendix D. Medium-Sized-Counties Sample Brief Youth Survey Data Tables .....	55
VoYC Brief Youth Survey Sample .....	55
Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth .....	56
Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth by Age.....	60
Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth by Race/Ethnicity .....	63
Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth by Gender Identity .....	65
Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth by Sexual Orientation .....	67
Education and Employment of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth .....	68
Systems Involvement among of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth .....	69
Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth by Pregnant or Parenting Status .....	69
Appendix E. 22-County Aggregate Sample Brief Youth Survey Data Tables .....	70
VoYC Brief Youth Survey Sample .....	70
Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth .....	71
Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth by Age.....	75
Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth by Race/Ethnicity .....	78
Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth by Gender Identity .....	80
Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth by Sexual Orientation .....	83
Education and Employment of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth .....	84
Systems Involvement among of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth .....	85
Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth by Pregnant or Parenting Status .....	86
Appendix F. Ada County Provider Survey Data Tables .....	87
Appendix G. Glossary of Terms .....	90

---

## List of Figures

Figure 1. Voices of Youth Count Sites .....	4
Figure 2. Ada County Youth Count.....	9
Figure 3. Locations of Surveyed Youth.....	11
Figure 4. Age of Sample.....	12
Figure 5. Race and Ethnicity .....	13
Figure 6. Gender Identity.....	14
Figure 7. Sexual Orientation.....	15
Figure 8. Percentage of Youth who Identified as LGBTQA* .....	16
Figure 9. Where Youth Slept Last Night .....	17
Figure 10. Education and Employment Among 18- to 25-year-olds.....	19
Figure 11. Disconnected 16- to 24-year-olds* .....	20
Figure 12. Systems Involvement.....	21
Figure 13. Pregnancy and Parenthood.....	23
Figure 14. Populations Served .....	25

---

**List of Tables**

Table 1. Type of Agency .....	24
Table 2. County-Level Data on the Number of Providers by Provider Type for the Medium-Sized VoYC Counties .....	25
Table 3. Programs Operated by RHY Providers by Age of Youth Served .....	26
Table 4. County-Level Data on the Number of RHY Providers Operating Programs for the Medium-Sized VoYC Counties* .....	26
Table 5. Number of Homeless Service Providers Operating Programs by Age of Youth Served .....	27
Table 6. County-Level Data on the Number of Homeless Service Providers Operating Programs for the Medium-Sized VoYC Counties.....	27
Table 7. Number of Youth RHY Providers Served by Program Type .....	28
Table 8. RHY Provider Funding Sources .....	29
Table 9. County-Level Data on RHY Provider Funding Sources for the Medium-Sized VoYC Counties.....	29
Table 10. Number of RHY Providers and Youth-Serving Organizations (YSOs) Offering Services to Runaway and Homeless Youth .....	30
Table 11. Mean Number of RHY Providers and Youth-Serving Organizations (YSOs) Offering Services to Runaway and Homeless Youth in the Medium-Sized VoYC Counties .....	31
Table 12. Prevention Services .....	32
Table 13. Students Eligible for McKinney-Vento Services.....	33

---

# Background

Voices of Youth Count (VoYC) is a national, multicomponent research initiative focused on the experiences of runaway, homeless, and unstably housed youth. The purpose of the initiative is to inform the development of federal and state policies related to runaway, homeless, and unstably housed youth; improve the provision of services to that population; and build a foundation for future research with the ultimate goal of preventing and ending youth homelessness. The VoYC research activities include:

- **Youth Count:** a point-in-time visual count of homeless and unstably housed youth;
- **Brief Youth Survey:** a survey of homeless and unstably housed youth administered in conjunction with the Youth Count to collect information about the demographic characteristics and experiences of this population;
- **Provider Survey:** an online survey of runaway and homeless youth service providers, providers of services to homeless adults and families with children, and youth-serving organizations about the services they provide to runaway and homeless youth and how those services are funded;
- **In-Depth Interviews:** timeline narrative interviews with and survey of youth who have experienced homelessness or housing instability to explore housing trajectories and factors that shaped those trajectories, survival strategies, use of services, and perceptions of service effectiveness;
- **Analyses of Existing Data:** leveraging of Homeless Management Information System (HMIS),<sup>3</sup> child welfare, and McKinney-Vento (schools) data to improve site-specific estimates and supplement the survey and interview data;

---

<sup>3</sup> HMIS is the Homeless Management Information System that all HUD-funded homeless services agencies and organizations are required to use. In many communities, HMIS is used by most, if not all, homeless service providers, regardless of their funding source.

- **National Survey:** a survey of over 13,000 adults about 13- to 25-year-old household members who had run away, couch surfed, or been homeless in the past year;
- **Policy and Fiscal Analysis:** an examination of the implications of specific federal policies on the ability of communities to address the needs of runaway and homeless youth; and
- **Evidence Review:** a systematic review of evaluations to summarize what is known about programs and services intended to improve outcomes of runaway and homeless youth.

---

## Definition of Homeless or Unstably Housed Youth

VoYC defines its target population broadly to include 13- to 25-year-olds who are either homeless or unstably housed. Homeless youth can be **sheltered** (i.e., sleeping in emergency shelters, transitional housing, or hotels or motels) or **unsheltered** (i.e., sleeping on the street, in parks, or otherwise outside; in vehicles or in abandoned buildings/vacant units; on trains/buses or in train/bus stations; or at 24-hour restaurants, laundromats, or other retail establishments). Youth **staying with others**<sup>4</sup> include youth who lack a stable place to stay and are sleeping in their own apartment, the home of a parent or other relative, the home of a friend/girlfriend/boyfriend, a foster or group home, a hospital/emergency room, a residential treatment facility, at the home of someone the youth was having sex with, or at a juvenile detention center or jail.

---

## Research Questions

VoYC focused on six research questions:

1. How many runaway, homeless, or unstably housed youth are there and what are their characteristics?

---

<sup>4</sup> In the Brief Youth Survey, youth were asked where they stayed the night before the count, and depending on their response, if they had a stable place to stay. Youth were classified as “staying with others” if they indicated that they did not have a stable place to stay. This includes youth who were living in their own apartments. Youth in their own apartment could be unstably housed if, for example, they were in the process of being evicted. Please see Appendix B for more information about the survey instrument.

2. How do runaway, homeless, or unstably housed youth survive and how are they faring?
3. What factors are associated with how long and how often youth are homeless or away from home?
4. What services do runaway, homeless, or unstably housed youth use and which are likely to lead to better life outcomes?
5. In what ways are the experiences of runaway, homeless, or unstably housed youth influenced by local, state, or federal policies?
6. Why do youth become homeless or run away from home?

---

## Partnerships

To address these research questions, VoYC partnered with 22 counties across the US. In each county, VoYC identified a lead agency. Lead agencies included homeless service providers, continuums of care, local government organizations, and universities. The VoYC lead agency engaged a broad network of local stakeholders and provided extensive support to ensure the success of local data collection activities.

---


## Site Selection

We selected the VoYC counties using a stratified random sampling approach that was designed to ensure geographic diversity as well as variation in population density and homeless youth services infrastructure. Communities were identified as urban, suburban, medium or small town, or rural based on the US Center for Disease Control's National Center for Health Statistics classification system.<sup>5</sup> Five of the 22 counties were selected as sites for in-depth youth interviews based on geography, population density, and the distinctiveness of the context for studying homeless and unstably housed youth (see Figure 1).

---

<sup>5</sup> [https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data\\_access/urban\\_rural.htm](https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data_access/urban_rural.htm)

Figure 1. Voices of Youth Count Sites



This report presents results from the Youth Count, Brief Youth Survey, and Provider Survey for Ada County. It includes a point-in-time estimate of the county’s homeless and unstably housed youth population, information about the characteristics and experiences of those youth and the availability of services and gaps in service provision, and county-level data on homeless students enrolled in Ada County schools.

---

# Ada County

---

## Local Context

The experiences of homeless and unstably housed youth are influenced by the community context in which they live. This context includes the local climate, the service provider infrastructure, and the other systems with which youth interact.

Ada County is located in southwestern Idaho, and its county seat is Boise, the state capital. It is one of three medium and small towns that partnered with VoYC. In 2015, the population of Ada County was 434,211 and 17.3 percent of the population was between 13 and 25 years old.<sup>6</sup> The poverty rate in Ada County was 11.7 percent.<sup>7</sup> Ada County's homeless services network primarily targets adults and families, with only limited services designed specifically for youth. Additionally, the less densely populated areas of the county have only limited services and no shelters.

Boise State University (BSU) was the VoYC lead agency in Ada County. Together with the City of Boise and the Ada County Board of Commissioners, BSU engaged the broader community, identified and convened stakeholders, and provided extensive support to ensure the success of data collection activities. McKinney-Vento liaisons from the Ada County school systems, mental health providers, homeless services organizations, and the local housing authority were important partners in the planning efforts.

---

<sup>6</sup> United States Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), Bridged-Race Population Estimates, United States July 1<sup>st</sup> resident population by state, county, age, sex, bridged-race, and Hispanic origin. Compiled from 1990-99 bridged-race intercensal population estimates (released by NCHS on 7/26/2004); revised bridged-race 2000-09 intercensal population estimates (released by NCHS on 10/26/2012); and bridged-race vintage 2015 (2010-15) postcensal population estimates (released by NCHS on 6/28/2016). Available on CDC WONDER Online Database. Accessed at <http://wonder.cdc.gov/bridged-race-v2015.html> on March 28, 2017.

<sup>7</sup> <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/adacountyidaho/PST045216>



---

## Youth Count and Brief Youth Survey

The VoYC Youth Counts were conducted over a 24-hour period on different dates in each of the 22 counties. In each community, the VoYC lead agency helped identify and recruit young people who had lived experience with homelessness or housing instability and a broad array of local service providers and other stakeholders to plan and execute the Youth Count.

### Methods

The VoYC Youth Counts were conducted using the same methodology in all 22 communities. The complete Youth Count methodology is detailed in the *VoYC Toolkit*, which is available for download.<sup>8</sup>

The key features of the VoYC Youth Count approach included:

- Counting youth experiencing *homelessness or housing instability* to capture youth with a diverse set of experiences.
- Engaging currently and formerly homeless youth as experts in the planning of the count and full participants in the execution of the count.
- Engaging a broad set of community stakeholders, including service providers, who support and are connected to the diverse population of youth experiencing homelessness and housing instability.

### VOYC YOUTH COUNTS

#### Strengths

- Youth driven
- Collaboratively planned
- Hot spot mapped
- Multiple settings for surveying youth
- Integrated data
- Age-appropriate surveys

#### Limitations

- Difficult to count youth experiencing more hidden forms of homelessness
- Snapshot approach may undercount sporadic homelessness
- Limited school engagement during the summer

---

<sup>8</sup> <http://voicesofyouthcount.org/resource/conducting-a-youth-count-a-toolkit/>

Data collection for the Youth Count and Brief Youth Survey included three components: a Street Count, an Organizational Count, and a Community Count.

**The Street Count.** The Street Count focused on “hot spots,” or locations identified by community members as places where youth experiencing homelessness or housing instability were likely to be found. Youth who had experienced homelessness or housing instability, as well as service providers connected to those youth, participated in focus groups a few weeks prior to the Youth Count to identify the hot spots and determine when the Youth Count should be conducted. On the day of the count, teams composed of youth who had experienced homelessness or housing instability (Guides) and a supportive community volunteer (Team Leader) were given maps of the hot spots where they were to count and survey youth.

Teams conducted a visual count of youth in the hot spots as well as in other areas where homeless or unstably housed youth were likely to be found. The teams used tally sheets (see Appendix A) to record information about youth who appeared to be homeless or unstably housed and between 13- and 25-years-old. Immediately following the visual count, these youth were approached by Guides and asked to complete a Brief Youth Survey (see Appendix B) that included questions about where youth had slept the night before as well as demographic and other background characteristics. Although teams made every effort to administer surveys to every youth who was counted, this was not always possible. For example, some youth left the area before they could be surveyed and some youth were sleeping.

**The Organizational Count.** Staff or other volunteers administered the Brief Youth Survey to youth in shelters, transitional housing programs, drop-in centers, and other organizations from which homeless or unstably housed youth may have received services on the day of the count. A visual count was not conducted in these locations.

**The Community Count.** Volunteers also administered the Brief Youth Survey to youth at locations in the community, including parks and libraries. Youth were notified of these

opportunities to be surveyed through service providers, social media, and other forms of outreach. A visual count was not conducted at these sites.

## Ada County Youth Count Context

The Ada County trainings and Youth Count were held on June 7, 2016. Eight teams, including 20 Guides and eight Team Leaders, conducted the Street Count. Three organizations participated in the Organizational Count by administering surveys to youth they were serving on the day of the Youth Count. While the homeless service providers were supportive of the Youth Count efforts, the limited runaway and homeless youth provider network complicated efforts to identify and recruit young people to participate in Youth Count planning and execution. Unseasonably warm weather, coupled with insufficient recruitment, may have reduced the number of youth found. Additionally, teams were not allowed to conduct surveys at federally funded summer lunch program sites, which likely resulted in an undercount of unstably housed minors.

## Ada County Results

---

### Youth Count

The Youth Count total integrates data from two sources: the Brief Youth Survey and the visual count. Of the 170 youth who were surveyed in Ada County, 68 were between 13 and 25 years old and categorized as homeless or unstably housed based on where they had slept the night before.<sup>9</sup> Another 9 homeless or unstably housed youth were tallied but not surveyed. This brings the VoYC point-in-time count total for Ada County to 77 homeless or unstably housed youth (see Figure 2).

---

<sup>9</sup> See the VoYC definition of homeless or unstably housed on page 2.

Figure 2. Ada County Youth Count



---

### Brief Youth Survey

In this section, we present the Brief Youth Survey results. They include information about the locations where youth were surveyed and where they slept the night before the count. They also include information about youths' demographic characteristics, education, employment, history of systems involvement, and whether they were pregnant or parenting. The percentages reported below are calculated out of the total number of youth who responded to the relevant survey question. This number is often less than the total sample size.

Where relevant, we provide context for interpreting the Ada County data by comparing the responses of the homeless and unstably housed youth who were surveyed in Ada County to:

- data collected from the 22-county aggregate sample of 4,139 youth;
- data collected from the medium-sized-county sample of 1,112 youth (i.e., counties with a population between 193,000 and 779,000);
- Ada County data from the 2015 American Community Survey, which is administered annually by the US Census Bureau; or

- data from a recent Gallup poll of 18- to 25-year-olds across the US.<sup>10</sup>

Additional results from the Brief Youth Survey conducted in Ada County can be found in Appendix C. Comparison data for the medium-sized-county sample and the 22-county aggregate sample can be found in Appendices D and E, respectively.

It is important to keep in mind that these data provide a snapshot of youth experiencing homelessness and housing instability in Ada County during a 24-hour period in June 2016. They do not necessarily reflect the experiences of youth who are homeless or unstably housed at other times during the year or whose homelessness is more hidden because they are couch surfing, doubled up, or do not want to be found or surveyed.

Additionally, although the focus of VoYC is on unaccompanied homeless and unstably housed youth, the youth who completed the survey were not asked if they were staying with a parent or legal guardian. It is possible, for example, that some of the youth who reported sleeping at the home of a friend or family member may have been doubled up together with a parent. For this reason, we do not use the term “unaccompanied” in reporting the Brief Youth Survey results.


### Locations of Surveyed Youth

Of the 68 homeless and unstably housed youth who were surveyed in Ada County, 37 were surveyed during the Street Count, 26 were surveyed during the Organizational Count, and 5 were surveyed during the Community Count (see Figure 3).

---

<sup>10</sup> VoYC also includes a national population-based survey on youth homelessness that included both landline and cell phone samples. During this survey, all participants, both stably and unstably housed, were asked about high school completion and current employment. The information gathered from all respondents offers a nationally-representative sample of the experiences of 18- to 25-year-olds. The results of this national survey will be presented in greater detail in a separate report.


**Figure 3. Locations of Surveyed Youth**



### Demographic Characteristics

We asked youth for their date of birth and used this to calculate their age. Twenty-three percent ( $n = 14$ ) of the Ada County sample were under age 18, compared to 11 percent and 13 percent of the medium-sized-county sample and 22-county aggregate sample, respectively. Conversely, 37 percent of the Ada County sample were 22- to 25-year-olds, compared to 51 percent of the medium-sized-county sample and 44 percent of the 22-county aggregate sample (see Figure 4). Thus, the Ada County youth were younger, on average, than either the medium-sized-county sample or the 22-county aggregate sample.

Figure 4. Age of Sample



\* 6 respondents in the Ada County sample did not respond to the question about date of birth.

\*\* 56 respondents in the medium-sized-county sample did not respond to the question about date of birth.


\*\*\* 223 respondents in the 22-county aggregate sample did not respond to the question about date of birth.

We also asked youth about their race or ethnicity, gender identity, and sexual orientation. White youth were underrepresented among the Ada County population of homeless or unstably housed youth. Seventy-two percent of the surveyed youth identified as white, yet they represented 85 percent of the overall Ada County population (see Figure 5).<sup>11</sup>

---

<sup>11</sup> <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/16001>

Figure 5. Race and Ethnicity




\* “Other” includes youth who identified as American Indian/Alaskan Native, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, Asian, and Other.  
 \*\* Data were missing for 15 respondents in the Ada County sample.  
 \*\*\* Data were missing for 46 respondents in the medium-sized-county sample.  
 \*\*\*\* Data were missing for 192 respondents in the 22-county aggregate sample.  
 \*\*\*\*\* The American Community Survey is data about the entire population of Ada County. The data adds to more than 100% because individuals may have identified as both Hispanic/Latino and another race.

Like both the medium-sized-county sample and the 22-county aggregate sample, the Ada County sample was disproportionately male (see Figure 6).



**Figure 6. Gender Identity**




\* “Other” includes youth who identified as transgender, genderqueer/nonconforming, intersex, or other.  
 \*\* Data were missing for 34 respondents in the Ada County sample.  
 \*\*\* Data were missing for 124 respondents in the medium-sized-county sample.  
 \*\*\*\* Data were missing for 470 respondents in the 22-county aggregate sample.

Twenty-four percent of the Ada County homeless and unstably housed youth identified as lesbian, gay, bisexual, questioning, or asexual (LGBQA) to some extent (see Figure 7).<sup>12</sup> The percentage of youth who identify as LGBQA may be higher than these data suggest because some young people may have felt uncomfortable sharing information about sexual orientation. Nonetheless, the percentage of youth who identified as LGBQA in Ada County is comparable to the 22-county aggregate sample and modestly higher than the percentage of youth who identified as LGBQA in the medium-sized-county sample. It was significantly higher than the percentage of youth who identify as LGBQA in the general population.<sup>13</sup>

<sup>12</sup> We counted youth as bisexual if they identified themselves as mostly heterosexual, bisexual, or mostly gay or lesbian.

<sup>13</sup> A recent Gallup poll found that approximately 7% of millennials in the US identify as lesbian, gay, bisexual, or transgender ([http://www.gallup.com/poll/201731/lgbt-identification-rises.aspx?g\\_source=Social%20Issues&g\\_medium=newsfeed&g\\_campaign=tiles](http://www.gallup.com/poll/201731/lgbt-identification-rises.aspx?g_source=Social%20Issues&g_medium=newsfeed&g_campaign=tiles)).

Figure 7. Sexual Orientation



\* “LGBQA” includes youth who identified as mostly heterosexual, bisexual, mostly gay or lesbian, 100% gay or lesbian, not sexually attracted to either males or females, or other.

\*\* Data were missing for 18 respondents in the Ada County sample.


\*\*\* Data were missing for 51 respondents in the medium-sized-county sample.

\*\*\*\* Data were missing for 269 respondents in the 22-county aggregate sample.

When responses to both the gender identity and sexual orientation question are taken into account, we find that 30 percent of homeless and unstably housed youth in Ada County identified as lesbian, gay, bisexual, questioning, transgender, or asexual (LGBTQA) to some extent (see Figure 8).<sup>14</sup> This was higher than the percentage of youth who identified as LGBTQA in the medium-sized-county sample and the 22-county aggregate sample.

<sup>14</sup> We counted youth as transgender if they identified themselves as transgender F-M, transgender M-F, intersex, genderqueer/nonconforming, other, or do not know.

**Figure 8. Percentage of Youth who Identified as LGBTQA\***



\* “LGBTQA” includes youth who identified as mostly heterosexual, bisexual, mostly gay or lesbian, 100% gay or lesbian, not sexually attracted to either males or females, transgender F-M, transgender M-F, intersex, genderqueer/nonconforming, other, or do not know.

\*\* Data were missing for 35 respondents in the Ada County sample.

\*\*\* Data were missing for 143 respondents in the medium-sized-county sample.

\*\*\*\* Data were missing for 579 respondents in the 22-county aggregate sample.

### Where Youth Slept Last Night


We asked youth “Where did you sleep last night?” and categorized them as belonging to one of four groups based on their responses to this question. Youth who responded that they were staying in their own home or the home of a friend or relative were also asked if they had a stable place to stay. Those who said “no” were categorized as unstably housed. See Appendix B for more information about the survey instrument.

- The homeless **sheltered** category includes youth who slept in emergency shelters, transitional housing, and hotels or motels.
- The homeless **unsheltered** category includes youth who slept in vehicles, abandoned buildings, or vacant units; on trains/buses or in train/bus stations; at 24-hour restaurants, laundromats, or other business or retail establishments; or anywhere outside (e.g., on the street or in a park).

- The **staying with others** category includes youth who did not have a stable place to stay and slept in their own apartment, the home of a parent or other relative, the home of a friend/boyfriend/girlfriend, or in a foster or group home.
- The **other** category includes youth who did not fall into any of the previous categories but lacked a stable place to stay. These are youth who had spent the night before the count in a hospital, emergency room, residential treatment facility, at the home of someone the youth was having sex with, or a juvenile detention center or jail. This category includes youth who didn't know where they had slept or who refused to answer.

Based on their responses, 56 percent of the youth were categorized as sheltered, 21 percent as unsheltered, 18 percent as staying with others, and 6 percent as “other” the night before the count (see Figure 9). Ada County youth were more likely to have been categorized as sheltered and slightly less likely to be categorized as unsheltered than youth in the medium-sized-county sample or the 22-county aggregate sample.

**Figure 9. Where Youth Slept Last Night**



## Education and Employment

Youth were asked if they had a high school diploma or GED, if they were currently attending school or another education program, and if they were currently employed at a job for which they receive a pay-check.

Given that we would anticipate different responses to these questions based on the age of the surveyed youth, and the small number of youth under the age of 18, we focus on the responses of the 18- to 25-year-olds.

Seventy percent of the surveyed homeless and unstably housed 18- to 25-year-olds reported having a high school diploma or GED (see Figure 10). This is much lower than for the general population of 18- to 25-year-olds—both the percentage of Ada County youth who graduate from high school (four years after entering; 87%)<sup>15</sup> and the percentage of 18- to 25-year-olds in a national sample who reported having a high school diploma or GED (86%).<sup>16</sup> However, it is slightly higher than the percentage of homeless and unstably housed youth who reported having a high school diploma or GED in the medium-sized-county sample or the 22-county aggregate sample.

Fourteen percent of the surveyed homeless and unstably housed 18- to 25-year-olds in Ada County reported that they were currently attending school. This is lower than the percentage of homeless and unstably housed youth who were attending school in either the medium-sized-county sample or the 22-county aggregate sample. Because the Brief Youth Survey was administered during the summer months, some youth who were enrolled in school may have responded “no” to the question about school attendance. Thus, the percentage of youth attending school during the school year may be higher than these data suggest.

Thirty-two percent of the surveyed homeless and unstably housed 18- to 25-year-olds in Ada County reported that they were currently employed. This is comparable to the percentage


---

<sup>15</sup> State and school district data from the US Department of Education: ED Facts Adjusted Cohort Graduate Rate (ACGR) for the 2013-14 school year. Measure of America mapped school districts to estimate the counties and recalculated the ACGR. See <http://opportunityindex.org/#7.00/44.312/-116.356/Ada/Idaho>

<sup>16</sup> VoYC also includes a national population-based survey on youth homelessness that included both landline and cell phone samples. During this survey, all participants, both stably and unstably housed, were asked about high school completion and current employment. The information gathered from all respondents offers a nationally-representative sample of the experiences of 18- to 25-year-olds. The results of this national survey will be presented in greater detail in a separate report. Gallup, Inc. Daily Tracking Poll data from July to September 2016.

of youth who were employed in either the medium-sized-county sample or the 22-county aggregate sample. The homeless and unstably housed 18- to 25-year-olds in Ada County were about half as likely to be employed as a national sample of 18- to 25-year-olds.<sup>17</sup>

**Figure 10. Education and Employment Among 18- to 25-year-olds**




\* In the Ada County sample, data on high school completion were missing for 11 respondents, data on school attendance were missing for 12 respondents, and data on employment were missing for 11 respondents.  
 \*\* In the medium-sized-county sample, data on high school completion were missing for 27 respondents, data on school attendance were missing for 32 respondents, and data on employment were missing for 31 respondents.  
 \*\*\* In the 22-county aggregate sample, data on high school completion were missing for 112 respondents, data on school attendance were missing for 141 respondents, and data on employment were missing for 137 respondents.

Disconnected youth are often defined as 16- to 24-year-olds who are neither working nor in school. Based on this definition, 49 percent of the surveyed homeless and unstably housed 16- to 24-year-olds in Ada County would be categorized as “disconnected” compared to 50 percent of the 16- to 24-year-olds in the medium-sized-county sample and 47 percent of the 16- to 24-year-olds in the 22-county aggregate sample.<sup>18</sup> By contrast, only 12 percent of all 16-

<sup>17</sup> Gallup, Inc. Daily Tracking Poll data from July to September 2016.  
<sup>18</sup> Because the Brief Youth Survey was administered during the summer months, and some youth who were enrolled in school may have responded “no” to the school attendance question, these percentages may overestimate the percentage of 16- to 24-year-olds who were disconnected.

to 24-year-olds in Ada County were categorized as disconnected based on analysis of American Community Survey data (see Figure 11).<sup>19</sup>

**Figure 11. Disconnected 16- to 24-year-olds\***



\* “Disconnected” is often defined as neither being in school nor working.

\*\* The American Community Survey is data about the entire population, ages 16 to 24, of Ada County.

\*\*\* Data were missing for 8 respondents in the Ada County sample.

\*\*\*\* Data were missing for 36 respondents in the medium-sized-county sample.

\*\*\*\*\* Data were missing for 148 respondents in the 22-county aggregate sample.


## Systems Involvement

Youth were asked if they were currently receiving any government benefits, had ever spent time in juvenile detention, jail, or prison, or had ever been in foster care. Forty-one percent of the Ada County youth were currently receiving benefits, 40 percent had ever spent time in juvenile detention or jail or prison, and 39 percent had ever been in foster care (see Figure 12). There was some overlap between the youth who had been in foster care and the youth who had spent time in juvenile detention or jail or prison. Forty-five percent of the Ada County homeless and unstably housed youth had either been in foster care or spent time in juvenile detention or jail or prison only but 24 percent had experienced both. Compared to homeless and unstably housed youth in both the medium-sized-county sample and the 22-

<sup>19</sup> Measure of America analysis of data from the US Census Bureau, American Community Survey PUMS Microdata (<http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/index.xhtml>) and custom tabulations for county and county equivalents provided by special arrangement with the US Census Bureau. See <http://opportunityindex.org/#7.00/44.312/-116.356/Ada/Idaho>.

county aggregate sample, the Ada County youth were more likely to have ever spent time in foster care.

**Figure 12. Systems Involvement**



\* In the Ada County sample, data on benefit receipt were missing for 17 respondents, data on detention/incarceration were missing for 17 respondents, and data on foster care were missing for 17 respondents.

\*\* In the medium-sized-county sample, data on benefit receipt were missing for 45 respondents, data on detention/incarceration were missing for 56 respondents, and data on foster care were missing for 49 respondents.

\*\*\* In the 22-county aggregate sample, data on benefit receipt were missing for 189 respondents, data on detention/incarceration were missing for 241 respondents, and data on foster care were missing for 179 respondents.

These data indicate that Ada County youth experiencing homelessness and housing instability are far more likely to have been in foster care and to have been in detention, jail, or prison than their peers in the general population. For example, the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health (Add Health) found that just over 2 percent of a nationally representative sample of young adults (ages 18 to 28) had ever lived in a foster home.<sup>20</sup> The Add Health Study also found that just over 15 percent of a nationally representative sample

<sup>20</sup> The Add Health figure does not include young adults who were in group care settings but not in foster homes. See Harris, K. (2009). *The National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent to Adult Health (Add Health), Wave III, 2001–2002*. Chapel Hill, NC: Carolina Population Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.



of 24- to 34-year-olds had ever spent time in a jail, prison, juvenile detention center or other correctional facility.<sup>21</sup>

## Pregnancy and Parenthood


Youth were asked if they were pregnant or parenting, and youth who responded yes were asked if they had custody of their children. The question about custody was asked of all young people who responded affirmatively to the question about being pregnant or a parent. Thus, the data may underestimate the percentage of parents who have custody of their children. Fourteen percent of homeless and unstably housed youth in Ada County reported that they were pregnant or a parent. Fifty-seven percent of those youth reported having custody of their children (see Figure 13).

Ada County homeless and unstably housed youth were less likely to report being pregnant or a parent or to have custody of their children than youth in either the medium-sized-county sample or the 22-county aggregate sample.

---

<sup>21</sup>The Add Health sample is considerably older than the VoYC sample which makes the comparison even more compelling. See Harris, K. (2009). *The National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent to Adult Health (Add Health), Wave IV, 2007–2009*. Chapel Hill, NC: Carolina Population Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.

Figure 13. Pregnancy and Parenthood



\* Only includes youth who reported being pregnant or a parent.

\*\* In the Ada County sample, data on pregnancy and parenthood were missing for 16 respondents.

\*\*\* In the medium-sized-county sample, data on pregnancy and parenthood were missing for 69 respondents and data on custody were missing for 25 respondents.

\*\*\*\* In the 22-county aggregate sample, data on pregnancy and parenthood were missing for 286 respondents and data on custody were missing for 93 respondents.

## Provider Survey

The VoYC Provider Survey gathered information from service providers to better understand the range of services available to runaway and homeless youth in Ada County and how those services are funded.

## Methods

For each of the 22 counties, the VoYC team compiled a comprehensive list of organizations serving runaway and homeless youth (RHY), homeless adults, and families, and other youth-serving organizations (YSOs). A link to an online survey was sent to one representative from each of the provider agencies shortly after the Youth Count was conducted. The survey included questions about their agency, the programs they operate, how some programs are funded, and the services they provide.

## Ada County Provider Survey Context

The Ada County Provider Survey was conducted from July to August 2016. The survey link was sent to 32 service providers and 27 responded—an 84 percent response rate. Because

some of the service providers to whom the survey link was sent did not respond, these results do not provide a complete picture of the services potentially available to Ada County’s runaway and homeless youth. Additionally, these results are based on the information self-reported by the agencies that participated in the survey. Some of that self-reported information may have been incorrect.

## Ada County Results

Below we present the Provider Survey results. The results include information about the types of programs run by RHY providers as well as providers that serve homeless adults and families; the way programs run by RHY providers are funded; and the services provided to runaway and homeless youth by RHY providers and other youth-serving organizations. Where relevant, we compare the responses of the Ada County providers to aggregate results from the medium-sized VoYC counties.

Additional results from the Provider Survey conducted in Ada County can be found in Appendix F.

Most of the Ada County providers who responded to the survey were nonprofit organizations (see Table 1).


**Table 1. Type of Agency**

<i>(n = 27)</i>	
Type	# of agencies
Nonprofit organization	24
Religious/faith-based organization	1
Public/government agency	2
For-profit organization	0
Other	0

Two of the providers that completed the survey reported operating programs for runaway and homeless youth, 10 reported operating programs for homeless adults, 10 reported operating programs for homeless families, and 17 reported operating programs for other youth populations (see Figure 14). Some of these providers reported serving more than one population (e.g., RHY and homeless adults, homeless adults and homeless families).

Agencies were categorized as RHY providers if they indicated that they operated any programs specifically for runaway and homeless youth regardless of whether those programs were funded by the Family and Youth Services Bureau (FYSB). Throughout the report, we use the short-hand “RHY provider” for these organizations. Similarly, agencies were categorized as “homeless adult” or “homeless family” providers if they indicated that they operated any programs for homeless adults without children or homeless families with children, respectively. Finally, agencies were categorized as YSOs if they indicated that they operated any programs youth ages 13 to 25 years old, regardless of their housing status.

**Figure 14. Populations Served**



In Table 2, we compare the number of providers of each type that participated in the Ada County Provider Survey to the number of providers of each type that participated in the other medium-sized VoYC county Provider Surveys. Ada County had more YSOs who responded to the survey than most of the other medium-sized VoYC counties, but comparable numbers of providers serve homeless adults, homeless families, and runaway and homeless youth (see Table 2).

**Table 2. County-Level Data on the Number of Providers by Provider Type for the Medium-Sized VoYC Counties \***

(n = 7)	Provider Type			
	RHY	Homeless adults	Homeless families	YSOs
Number of Providers				
Zero	0	0	0	0
1 to 5	5	2	2	2
6 to 10	2	3	4	3
11 to 15	0	2	0	0
16 to 20	0	0	1	2

\* The shaded cells represent the categories into which Ada County falls.

## Types of Programs Operated by RHY Providers by Age of Youth Served

Table 3 shows the number of Ada County Provider Survey respondents that operate different types of RHY programs, the number that operate those programs for youth under age 18, and the number that operate those programs for youth age 18 and older. RHY providers are more likely to serve youth age 18 and older than youth under age 18; emergency shelter is the notable exception.

**Table 3. Programs Operated by RHY Providers by Age of Youth Served**

(n = 2)			
Program type	# of providers	# of providers serving youth < 18	# of providers serving youth ≥ 18
Drop-in centers	0	n/a	n/a
Street outreach	0	n/a	n/a
Emergency shelters	1	1	0
Transitional housing	1	0	1
Supportive housing	1	0	1
Host home	1	0	1
Rapid rehousing	0	n/a	n/a

Ada County is fairly typical with respect to the number of RHY providers that operate shelters, transitional housing programs, and supportive housing programs. Unlike many of the medium-sized counties, Ada County has a provider that runs a host home program but no providers that operate a street outreach program (see Table 4).

**Table 4. County-Level Data on the Number of RHY Providers Operating Programs for the Medium-Sized VoYC Counties\***

# of RHY Providers	Number of Counties by Program Type						
	Drop-in centers	Street outreach	Shelters	Transitional housing	Supportive housing	Host home	Rapid rehousing
	Number of Counties						
Zero	3	2	1	0	2	5	3
One to two	3	3	6	6	4	2	4
Three to four	1	2	0	1	1	0	0
Five or more	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

\*The shaded cells represent the categories into which Ada County falls.

Table 5 provides a more complete picture of the provider landscape because it is based on the responses of the 13 homeless service providers that responded to the survey: RHY providers, providers that serve homeless adults, and providers that serve homeless families with children. Fewer than half of the providers run any single type of program. Regardless of target population, more of these homeless service providers report that their programs serve youth age 18 and over than youth under age 18.

**Table 5. Number of Homeless Service Providers Operating Programs by Age of Youth Served**

(n = 13)			
Program type	# of providers	# of providers serving youth < 18	# of providers serving youth ≥ 18
Drop-in centers*	0	n/a	n/a
Street outreach	3	1	3
Emergency shelters	4	3	3
Transitional housing	3	0	3
Supportive housing	5	1	5
Host home**	1	0	1
Rapid rehousing	3	0	3

\* Providers were not asked about drop-in centers for homeless adults or families with children.

\*\* Providers were not asked about host home programs for homeless adults or families with children.

Ada County has more supportive housing programs than the other medium-sized VoYC counties (see Table 6).

**Table 6. County-Level Data on the Number of Homeless Service Providers Operating Programs for the Medium-Sized VoYC Counties\***

# of providers	Number of Counties by Program Type						
	Drop-in centers	Street outreach	Emergency shelters	Transitional housing	Supportive housing	Host home	Rapid rehousing
	Number of Counties						
Zero	3	1	0	0	0	5	0
One or two	3	1	1	2	2	2	3
Three or four	1	2	2	1	2	0	2
Five or more	0	3	4	4	3	0	2

\*The shaded cells represent the categories into which Ada County falls.

## Number of Youth Served by and Capacity of RHY Provider-Run Programs

We asked the Ada County RHY providers that responded to the survey about the number of youth they do or can serve, but the questions varied by program type. The transitional and supportive housing programs run by RHY providers were serving a total of 15 youth and 6 youth, respectively (see Table 7). There is only one emergency shelter in Ada County run by an RHY provider, which had a capacity of 15 beds. Because it only serves youth under age 18, youth age 18 and older must turn to the homeless adult provider system if they are in need of emergency shelter.

**Table 7. Number of Youth RHY Providers Served by Program Type**

(n = 2)		
Program type	Total # of youth served per day	Total # of youth served at a point in time
Drop-in centers (n = 0)	n/a	
Street outreach (n = 0)	n/a	
Transitional housing (n = 1)		15
Supportive housing (n = 1)		6
Host home (n = 1)*		n/a
Rapid rehousing (n = 0)		n/a

\*The provider did not respond to this question.

We asked the RHY providers that responded to the survey if they had waiting lists for their programs and if their programs had turned youth away during the past year. The emergency shelter had both a waiting list and had to turn youth away during the past year, while the transitional housing, supportive housing and host home programs had neither waiting lists nor had to turn youth away.

## Funding Sources

RHY providers were asked about their funding sources. Neither RHY provider receives funding from local government or from foundations or other philanthropic sources or from individual donors (see Table 8).

**Table 8. RHY Provider Funding Sources**

<i>(n = 2)</i>	
Funding Source	#
Federal government	1
State government	1
Local government	0
Foundations/philanthropy	0
Individual donors	0

Ada County providers were less likely than RHY providers in the other medium-sized counties to report receiving funding from local government sources or foundations or philanthropic sources or individual donors (see Table 9).

**Table 9. County-Level Data on RHY Provider Funding Sources for the Medium-Sized VoYC Counties\***

<i>(n = 7)</i>	Funding Sources				
# of providers	Federal	State	Local	Foundations/philanthropy	Individual donors
	Number of Counties				
Zero	1	1	1	1	1
One or two	4	3	4	3	3
Three or four	2	3	2	2	1
Five or more	0	0	0	1	2

\*The shaded cells represent the categories into which Ada County falls.

### Services Offered to Runaway and Homeless Youth by Provider Type

We asked both RHY providers and other youth-serving organizations (YSOs) that serve runaway or homeless youth in Ada County about the types of services they provide. The two RHY providers that responded to the survey offered most of the services we asked. The YSOs had more varied services, but several offered a range of services that could be leveraged to support youth experiencing homelessness (see Table 10).



**Table 10. Number of RHY Providers and Youth-Serving Organizations (YSOs) Offering Services to Runaway and Homeless Youth**

<b>Service Type</b>	<b># of RHY Providers (n = 2)</b>	<b># of YSOs (n = 8)</b>
Case management	2	4
Assistance with basic needs	2	6
Life skills training	2	6
Employment	2	6
Transportation	2	3
Housing assistance	1	3
Education	2	6
Mental/behavioral health	2	4
Recreation	2	4
Family reunification	2	--
Physical health	1	7
Mentoring	2	6
Storage facilities	1	--
Legal assistance	0	1

On average, Ada County has fewer RHY providers and more YSOs offering services than the other medium-sized VoYC counties. Notably, YSOs in Ada County are twice as likely to offer education, physical health services or mentoring as YSOs in the other medium-sized VoYC counties (see Table 11).

**Table 11. Mean Number of RHY Providers and Youth-Serving Organizations (YSOs) Offering Services to Runaway and Homeless Youth in the Medium-Sized VoYC Counties**

	Mean # of RHY Providers	Mean # of YSOs
Case management	3.4	3.3
Assistance with basic needs	3.0	3.6
Life skills training	3.3	3.3
Employment	3.6	3.4
Transportation	3.1	2.1
Housing assistance	2.7	1.9
Education	2.7	2.7
Mental/behavioral health	2.9	3.0
Recreation	2.4	2.4
Family reunification	1.6	----
Physical health	1.3	2.7
Mentoring	1.4	2.6
Storage facilities	0.6	----
Legal assistance	0.9	0.7

Two RHY providers and five YSOs in Ada County that responded to the survey reported that they offer services to prevent youth from running away or becoming homeless (see Table 12). By comparison, an average of 1.4 RHY providers and 1.7 YSOs offer prevention services in the seven medium-sized VoYC counties.

All of the prevention service providers in Ada County offer prevention services to youth under age 18 but only two offer prevention services to youth age 18 and older. While the RHY providers offer all of the services we asked about, the YSOs only offered some of the services.

**Table 12. Prevention Services**

<b>Populations served</b>	<b># of RHY Providers (n = 2)</b>	<b># of YSOs (n = 5)</b>
Youth under 18	2	5
Youth age 18 and older	1	1
Parents or guardians	1	3
<b>Services provided</b>		
Case management	2	2
Individual counseling/therapy	2	0
Group counseling/therapy	2	0
Family counseling/therapy	2	1
Crisis intervention	2	3

---

## McKinney-Vento School Data

The U.S. Department of Education’s Office of Elementary and Secondary Education requires state educational agencies (SEAs) to submit information about the number and characteristics of homeless students enrolled in public school. These data are used to determine whether homeless children and youth have equal access to a free, appropriate public education as required under Subtitle VII-B of the McKinney Vento Homeless Assistance Act, which authorizes the federal Education for Homeless Children and Youth (EHCY) Program. The EHCY Program was reauthorized in December 2015 by Title IX, Part A, of the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

VoYC used the data on homeless student enrollment reported by Local Education Agencies (LEAs) in each of the 22 VoYC counties to calculate the number of homeless students in kindergarten through grade 12 who were eligible for McKinney-Vento services during the 2014--15 school year (the most recent year for which data were available).<sup>22</sup> Those data include information about the night time residence of the students, whether the students belong to one or more special populations (i.e., students with disabilities, limited English

---

<sup>22</sup> <https://www2.ed.gov/about/inits/ed/edfacts/data-files/school-status-data.html#lep>

proficiency, migrant students), and the number of students who are unaccompanied homeless youth. Because the data are reported at the LEA level and not at the individual student level, no additional analysis of the data was possible.

Ada County includes four LEAs whose boundaries overlap with at least one but no more than two neighboring counties. The EHCY Program for LEAs located in Ada County reported a total of 1,587 students eligible for McKinney-Vento services during the 2014–15 school year (see Table 13). A majority of the homeless students in Ada County were doubled-up ( $n = 1,587$ ). Thirteen percent ( $n = 205$ ) were identified as unaccompanied youth.<sup>23</sup>

The Boise Independent School District accounted for about 55 percent of the students in Ada County who were eligible for McKinney-Vento services and for about 70 percent of the unaccompanied youth.

**Table 13. Students Eligible for McKinney-Vento Services\***

Number of Local Education Agencies (LEAs)	4
Largest LEA	Boise Independent School District
Total number of students eligible	1,587
Number of students reported by largest LEA	869
<b>Students who are unaccompanied youth</b>	<b>205</b>
Number of unaccompanied youth reported by largest LEA	142
Students living in hotels or motels	77
Unsheltered students	96
Sheltered students	150
Doubled-up students	1,258
Students with disabilities	341
Students with limited English proficiency	124
Migrant students	2

\*If the number of students in a given category was  $\leq 2$ , the number of students in that category was not reported for the LEA. We assigned a value of 1 for our calculations.

---

<sup>23</sup> Schools count a child, regardless of age, as an unaccompanied youth if the child is living with a caretaker who is not the child's parent or legal guardian.

---

## Discussion

Twenty-three percent of the youth surveyed were 13 to 17 years old, making the Ada County youth younger, on average, than the youth surveyed in the other medium-sized VoYC counties. That the only emergency shelter in Ada County run by an RHY provider for youth under age 18 reported both having a waiting list and having to turn youth away during the past year, that so few other providers serve youth under age 18, and that the Ada County school districts identified 205 unaccompanied students<sup>24</sup> during the 2014-15 school year, points to a significant gap in services for younger youth.

The 18- to 25-year-olds in Ada County were more likely to be sheltered than their peers in the other medium-sized counties or in the 22-county aggregate sample. The fact that the RHY-run transitional housing and supportive housing programs have a combined capacity to serve just 21 youth at a time, and that there is no RHY-run emergency shelter that serves youth over age 18, indicates that youth are turning to the homeless adult provider system if they are in need of shelter. This suggests a need for collaboration between the homeless youth sector and the homeless adult sector to ensure that youth can access developmentally appropriate services.

Forty-nine percent of the Ada County homeless or unstably housed 16- to 24-year-olds were neither attending school nor employed, compared to 12 percent of all 16- to 24-year-olds in Ada County.<sup>25</sup> Although we do not know why these young people were not working or in school, their lack of education and employment could be a barrier not only to finding and maintaining housing, but also to their well-being in other domains.

---

<sup>24</sup> Schools count a child, regardless of age, as an unaccompanied youth if the child is living with a caretaker who is not the child's parent or legal guardian.

<sup>25</sup> Because the Brief Youth Survey was administered during the summer months, and some youth who were enrolled in school may have responded "no" to the school attendance question, these percentages may overestimate the percentage of 16- to 24-year-olds who were disconnected.

Education and employment services are available for homeless and unstably housed youth in Ada County. Both of the RHY providers and most of the youth-serving organizations that completed the Provider Survey indicated that they offer those services. However, only 14 percent of young people ages 18 to 25 indicated they were attending school and only 32 percent indicated they were employed. This reinforces the importance of supports for market-relevant skills and employment services and education services to help this population achieve sustained exits from homelessness and to contribute productively to the local economy.

Finally, 69 percent of the Ada County homeless and unstably housed youth reported having spent time in juvenile detention, jail, or prison; in foster care; or both, making them far more likely to have been in foster care or in detention, jail, or prison than their peers in the general population. Greater cross-sector investment and collaboration are clearly needed to ensure successful transitions for young people exiting the foster care system and the juvenile and criminal justice systems.

---

## Conclusion

Youth homelessness and housing instability are a significant challenge for communities across the country. This report provides a snapshot of the number and characteristics of youth experiencing homelessness and housing instability in a particular county and the local services available to address their needs. It also points to gaps in service provision and the need for greater cross-system collaboration.

These data, along with the data from the other 21 VoYC counties, can be used by local communities to support the mobilization of a coordinated, system-level response involving a broad array of service providers and a range of service options that can address the diverse needs of this vulnerable population. They can also inform the development of federal, state, and local policies to prevent and end youth homelessness. Other VoYC research components will shed further light on the life trajectories of youth experiencing homelessness or housing instability, interventions that have been shown to improve runaway and homeless youth outcomes, and the policy changes that could improve the ability of communities like Ada County to expedite progress towards ending youth homelessness.

Additional results from the Brief Youth Survey conducted in Ada County can be found in Appendix C. Comparison data for the medium-sized-county sample and the 22-county aggregate sample can be found in Appendices D and E, respectively. Additional results from the Provider Survey conducted in Ada County can be found in Appendix F.

# Youth Point-In-Time Count Street Tally Sheet

COUNTY  TEAM  SEARCH AREA  PAGE  SHEET #

REVIEW

Shade Circles Like This → ●  
Not Like This → ○

**Team Members**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Deployment/Captain  
Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
Number: \_\_\_\_\_

Search area: \_\_\_\_\_  
Deployment Center: \_\_\_\_\_  
Team map: \_\_\_\_\_

Directions: Fill in one line per person counted.

	Gender - Appearance			Age - Appearance		Race - Appearance					Has a child Mark if "yes"	Location (Address or nearest cross street)	Physical Characteristics Note physical description, permanent characteristics (e.g. tattoos, scars), or other distinguishing characteristics	Survey was completed Mark if "yes"	
	M	F	T	U	13-17	18-25	W	B	L	A					PI- NH
1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
15	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

M = Male  
F = Female  
T = Transgender  
U = Unsure

W = White  
B = Black  
L = Latino  
A = Asian  
PI-NH = Pacific Islander-Native Hawaiian  
AI-AN = American Indian/Alaskan Native  
O = Other

Has a child = Please mark if the person has a child with them.



**Voices of Youth Count Brief Survey**

COUNTY  TEAM  AREA  TALLY SHEET  SS

Tally  
 Shelter  
 CBC

(Interviewer: Read question & answers to the respondent)

Shade Circles Like This--> ●  
Not Like This--> ⊗

SURVEY #

3.

4.

Interviewer's (Your) Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Team / map : \_\_\_\_\_

Hello. My name is [name of the surveyor] and I'm working with Voices of Youth Count. We are talking to youth between the ages of 13 to 25 so that we can better understand their housing experiences. I would like to ask you a few questions about that. Or, if you feel more comfortable talking to the Team Lead, he/she can ask you the questions. You will receive a \$5 gift card for taking the survey. It will take about 5 minutes and your participation is voluntary. Your answers will not be shared with anyone outside the Voices of Youth Count team. Even though we will keep your answers private, there is a small risk that someone outside of the team might see them. There is also a small risk that you will feel uncomfortable answering some questions. However, you can skip any questions that you don't want to answer or stop the survey at any time. Do you have any questions?

Would you like to participate?  Yes [GO TO Q1]  No [THANK RESPONDENT AND END SURVEY]

1. Have you already completed a survey with a person who has a badge like this [identifier badge]?

Yes [THANK RESPONDENT AND END SURVEY]  No [GO TO Q2]

2. How old are you? \_\_\_\_\_ years  a  b  c

- a) If the person is 13 to 25 years old, go on to Q3.
- b) If the person is age 26 or older, THANK RESPONDENT AND END SURVEY.
- c) If the person is 12 or younger, THANK RESPONDENT AND END SURVEY.

3. What are your initials? First \_\_\_\_\_ Middle \_\_\_\_\_ Last \_\_\_\_\_  Don't know  Refuse to answer

4. What is your date of birth? MM \_\_\_\_\_ DD \_\_\_\_\_ YYYY \_\_\_\_\_  Don't know  Refuse to answer

5. Where did you sleep last night? [CHECK ONE RESPONSE THAT BEST MATCHES THE ANSWER; FOR "OTHER" WRITE IN RESPONSE]

**Sheltered**

- Shelter (emergency, temporary) (Specify: \_\_\_\_\_)
- Transitional housing (Specify: \_\_\_\_\_)
- Hotel or motel
- Home of person I'm having sex with

**Other**

- Hospital or emergency room
- Residential treatment facility
- Juvenile detention center or jail
- Other (Specify: \_\_\_\_\_)
- Don't know
- Refuse to answer

**Unsheltered**

- Car or other vehicle
- Abandoned building/vacant unit/squat
- On a train/bus or in train/bus station
- 24-hour restaurant/laundromat or other business/retail establishment
- Anywhere outside (street, park, viaduct)

**Potentially Permanent**

- Own apartment or house
- Parent's home
- Other relative's home
- Foster family home
- Group home
- Home of boyfriend/girlfriend
- Friend's home

6. Do you have a stable place to stay?

Yes  
 No

7. Do you have a high school diploma or GED?  Yes  No  Don't know  Refuse to answer

8. Are you currently attending school or another education program?  Yes  No  Don't know  Refuse to answer

9. Are you currently employed at a job for which you receive a pay check?  Yes  No  Don't know  Refuse to answer

10. Do you currently receive any public or government benefits, such as Medicaid, food stamps, SSI, or welfare cash assistance?  Yes  No  Don't know  Refuse to answer

11. Have you ever been in foster care?  Yes  No  Don't know  Refuse to answer

12. Have you ever been in juvenile detention, prison or jail?  Yes  No  Don't know  Refuse to answer

13. Are you pregnant or a parent?  
 Yes [GO TO Q13A]  No [GO TO Q14]  Don't know [GO TO Q14]  Refuse to answer [GO TO Q14]

→ 13a. Do you have custody of your child(ren)? In other words, are you responsible for caring for your child(ren) on a day-to-day basis?

Yes  No  Don't know  Refuse to answer

14. What is your race? [CHECK ALL THAT YOUTH MENTION]

- White/Caucasian
- Black/African American
- Pacific Islander/Native Hawaiian
- American Indian/Alaskan Native
- Hispanic/Latino
- Asian
- Other(Specify: \_\_\_\_\_)
- Don't Know
- Refuse to answer

15. How would you describe your gender identity?

- Female
- Male
- Transgender - Male to Female
- Transgender - Female to Male
- Intersex
- Genderqueer/Gender-Nonconforming
- Other (Specify: \_\_\_\_\_)
- Don't know my identity
- Refuse to answer

16. Which of the following best fits how you think about your sexual orientation?

[READ LIST AND SELECT ONE THAT APPLIES; FOR "OTHER" WRITE IN RESPONSE]

- 100% Heterosexual (Straight)
- Mostly Heterosexual (Straight) but somewhat attracted to people of my own sex
- Bisexual-that is, attracted to men and women equally
- Mostly Gay or Lesbian, but somewhat attracted to people of the opposite sex
- 100% Gay or Lesbian
- Not sexually attracted to either males or females
- Other(Specify: \_\_\_\_\_)
- Don't know my orientation
- Refuse to answer

**Una Breve Encuesta de Voces of Youth Count**  
[Las Voces de los Jóvenes Cuentan]

COUNTY  TEAM  AREA  TALLY SHEET  SS

- Tally
- Shelter
- CBC

(Encuestador: Lea las preguntas & respuestas al encuestado)

SURVEY #   
3.     
4.

Nombre del entrevistador: \_\_\_\_\_

Team / map : \_\_\_\_\_

**IMPORTANTE:** Por favor sombree los círculos así => ●

Hola. Mi nombre es [Nombre del entrevistador] y yo trabajo con *Voces of Youth Count*. Estamos hablando con jóvenes de 13 a 25 años para entender mejor sus experiencias de vivienda. Quisiera hacerte unas preguntas que tardarán más o menos 5 minutos. Tu participación es voluntaria y tus respuestas no serán compartidas con ninguna persona fuera del equipo de investigación. Aunque mantendremos sus respuestas en privada, hay un pequeño riesgo que una persona fuera del equipo de investigación vea sus respuestas. Hay también un pequeño riesgo de usted no sentirse a gusto contestando algunas de las preguntas. Sin embargo, si no quiere contestar alguna pregunta, no tiene que hacerlo y puedes terminar el cuestionario en cualquier momento. Por tu participación, tú recibirás una tarjeta de 5 dólares. ¿Tiene usted alguna pregunta?

¿Te gustaría participar en nuestra encuesta?  Sí [Pase a 1]  No [Agradezca al entrevistado y finalice la encuesta]

1. ¿Has completado un cuestionario con alguien que lleva una identificación como ésta [tarjeta de identificación]?

- Sí [Agradezca al entrevistado y finalice encuesta]
- No [Pase a 2]

2. ¿Cuántos años tienes? \_\_\_\_\_ años  a  b  c

- a) Si el entrevistado tiene de 13 a 25 años, pase a la pregunta 3
- b) Si el entrevistado tiene 26 años o más, agradezca y finalice la encuesta
- c) Si el entrevistado tiene 12 años o menos, agradezca y finalice la encuesta

3. ¿Cuáles son tus iniciales? Primer nombre \_\_\_\_\_ Segundo nombre \_\_\_\_\_ Apellido \_\_\_\_\_  No sé  Decliné

4. ¿Cuál es tu fecha de nacimiento? Mes \_\_\_\_\_ Día \_\_\_\_\_ Año \_\_\_\_\_  No sé  Decliné

5. ¿Dónde dormiste anoche? [Marque la casilla que mejor representa la respuesta; Para "Otro", escriba la respuesta]

**Amparo**

- Amparo (emergencia, temporario)(Especifique: \_\_\_\_\_)
- Viviendas de transición (Especifique: \_\_\_\_\_)
- Hotel o motel
- Casa de la persona con quien tengo relaciones sexuales

**Desamparo**

- Carro u otro vehículo
- Edificio abandonado/apartamento vacante
- En el tren/bus o en estación de trenes/buses
- Restaurante/lavandería u otro negocio/establecimiento de reventa de 24 horas
- En cualquier sitio (la calle, el parque, viaducto)

**Otro**

- Hospital o sala de emergencias
- Centro de tratamiento residencial
- Centro de detención juvenil o la cárcel
- Otro (Especifique: \_\_\_\_\_)
- No sé
- Decliné

**Potencialmente Permanente**

- Propio apartamento o casa
- Casa de tus padres
- Casa de otro familiar
- Casa de familia temporal
- Orfanato/Group Home
- Casa de novio/novia
- Casa de amigo/amiga

6. ¿Tienes un lugar donde te quedas con frecuencia?

- Sí
- No

7. ¿Tienes un diploma de escuela secundaria o GED?

- Sí
- No
- No sé
- Decliné

8. ¿Estás actualmente en la escuela o algún programa educacional?

- Sí
- No
- No sé
- Decliné

9. ¿Estás actualmente en un trabajo donde recibes un cheque?

- Sí
- No
- No sé
- Decliné

10. ¿Actualmente usted ha recibido beneficios del Gobierno tales como Medicaid (asistencia médica), Food Stamps (Estampillas de Comida o SNAP), SSI (Seguridad de Ingreso Suplementario) o asistencia de dinero?

- Sí
- No
- No sé
- Decliné

11. ¿Alguna vez has estado con una familia temporal (Foster Care)?

- Sí
- No
- No sé
- Decliné

12. ¿Alguna vez has estado en detención juvenil o en la cárcel?

- Sí
- No
- No sé
- Decliné

13. ¿Estás embarazada o criando hijos?

- Sí [Pase a 13A]
- No [Pase a 14]
- No sé [Pase a 14]
- No contesta [Pase a 14]

13a. ¿Tienes custodia de tus hijo(s)? En otras palabras, eres responsable por cuidar a tus hijo(s) diariamente?

- Sí
- No
- No sé
- Decliné

14. ¿Cuál es tu raza? [Marque todas la mencionadas por el entrevistado]

- Blanco/Caucásico
- Negro/Afroamericano
- Islas del Pacífico/Nativo Hawaiano
- Nativo Americano/Nativo de Alaska
- Hispano/Latino
- Asiático
- Otro(Especifique: \_\_\_\_\_)
- No sé
- Decliné

15. Cómo describirías tu identidad de género?

- Femenino
- Masculino
- Transgénero - Hombre a Mujer
- Transgénero - Mujer a Hombre
- Intersexual
- Genderqueer/Género-Inconforme
- Otro (Especifique: \_\_\_\_\_)
- No sé mi identidad
- No desea responder

16. ¿Cuáles de las respuestas siguientes mejor describe como piensas de tu orientación sexual?

[LEA LA LISTA Y SELECCIONE UNA SOLA OPCIÓN; PARA "OTRO", ESCRIBA LA RESPUESTA]

- Totalmente Heterosexual o 100% heterosexual
- Mayormente heterosexual pero con inclinación a sentir atracción hacia individuos del mismo sexo
- Bisexual – soy igualmente atraído por hombres y mujeres
- Mayormente homosexual/gay/lesbiana pero con inclinación a sentir atracción por individuos del sexo opuesto
- Totalmente homosexual/gay/lesbiana o 100% homosexual/gay/lesbiana
- Asexual – sin interés sexual por hombres o por mujeres
- Otro (Especifique: \_\_\_\_\_)
- No sé mi orientación
- Decliné

# Appendix C. Ada County Brief Youth Survey Data Tables

## VoYC Brief Youth Survey Sample

**Table C1. Brief Youth Survey Records (*n* = 170)\***

	#	%
Total records	170	100.0
Homeless or unstably housed	68	40.0
Records dropped	102	60.0
Not between 13 and 25 years old	8	7.8
Not homeless or unstably housed	60	58.8
Did not consent	27	26.5
Previously surveyed	7	6.9
Removed during de-duplication	0	0

**Table C2. Where Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth Were Surveyed (*n* = 68)\***

	#	%
Street Count	37	54.4
Organizational Count	26	38.2
Community Count	5	7.4

## Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth

**Table C3. Where Youth Stayed the Night Before the Count (*n* = 68)\***

	#	%
<b>Sheltered</b>	38	55.9
Emergency or temporary shelter	23	33.8
Transitional housing	12	17.7
Hotel or motel	3	4.4
<b>Unsheltered</b>	14	20.6
Car or other vehicle	4	5.9
Outside	10	14.7
<b>Unstably Housed</b>	12	17.7
Home of parent	2	2.9
Own apartment or house	1	1.5
Home of other relative	3	4.4
Home of BF/GF	1	1.5
Home of friend	5	7.4
<b>Other</b>	4	5.9
Hospital or emergency room	2	2.9
Home of person youth is having sex with	2	2.9

**Table C4. Age in Years of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth (*n* = 62)\***

	#	%
13 to 17	14	22.6
18 to 21	25	40.3
22 to 25	23	37.1

\*Age could not be computed for 6 young people who did not respond to the question about date of birth.

**Table C5. Race/Ethnicity of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth (n = 53)\***

	#	%
American Indian	1	1.9
Asian	2	3.8
Black/African American	3	5.7
Hispanic	3	5.7
Multiracial	6	11.3
White	38	71.7

\*Fifteen young people did not respond to the question about race/ethnicity.

**Table C6. Gender Identity of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth (n = 34)\***

	#	%
Female	11	32.4
Male	22	64.7
Genderqueer/Nonconforming	1	2.9

\*Thirty-four young people did not respond to the question about gender identity.

**Table C7. Sexual Orientation of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth (n = 50)\***

	#	%
100% heterosexual/straight	38	76.0
Mostly heterosexual but attracted to own sex	4	8.0
Bisexual/equally attracted to men and women	3	6.0
100% gay/lesbian	2	4.0
Not sexually attracted to either males or females	2	4.0
Don't know	1	2.0

\*Eighteen young people did not respond to the question about sexual orientation.

**Table C8. High School Diploma or GED (n = 52)\***

	#	%
Yes	27	51.9
No	25	48.1

\*Sixteen young people did not respond to the question about high school diploma/GED.

**Table C9. Currently Attending School (*n* = 51)\***

	#	%
Yes	14	27.5
No	36	70.6
Don't know	1	2.0

\*Seventeen young people did not respond to the question about current school attendance.

**Table C10. Currently Employed (*n* = 52)\***

	#	%
Yes	16	30.8
No	36	69.2

\*Sixteen young people did not respond to the question about current employment.

**Table C11. Ever in Foster Care (*n* = 51)\***

	#	%
Yes	20	39.2
No	31	60.8

\*Seventeen young people did not respond to the question about foster care.

**Table C12. Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail, or Prison (*n* = 51)\***

	#	%
Yes	27	39.7
No	24	35.3

\*Seventeen young people did not respond to the question about juvenile detention, jail, or prison.

**Table C13. Currently Receives Public Assistance Benefits (*n* = 51)\***

	#	%
Yes	21	41.2
No	30	58.8

\*Seventeen young people did not respond to the question about public assistance benefits.

**Table C14. Pregnant or a Parent ( $n = 52$ )\***

	#	%
Yes	7	13.5
No	45	86.5

\*Sixteen young people did not respond to the question about pregnancy or parenting.

**Table C15. Custodial Parent ( $n = 7$ )\***

	#	%
Yes	4	57.1
No	1	14.3
Don't know	2	28.6

\*Only includes youth who were pregnant or parents.

## Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth by Age

Tables C16 – C23 compare the homeless and unstably housed youth who were 13 to 17 years old to the homeless and unstably housed youth who were 18 to 25 years old.

**Table C16. Where Youth Stayed the Night Before the Count by Age\***

	13-17 year olds (n = 14)		18-25 year olds (n = 48)	
	#	%	#	%
<b>Sheltered</b>	10	71.4	25	52.1
Emergency or temporary shelter	1	7.1	20	41.7
Transitional housing	9	64.3	3	6.3
Hotel or motel	0	0.0	2	4.2
<b>Unsheltered</b>	1	7.1	12	25.0
Car or other vehicle	0	0.0	4	8.3
Outside	1	7.1	8	16.7
<b>Unstably Housed</b>	3	21.4	8	16.7
Home of parent	1	7.1	1	2.1
Own apartment or house	0	0.0	1	2.1
Home of other relative	0	0.0	3	6.3
Home of BF/GF	0	0.0	1	2.1
Home of friend	2	14.3	2	4.2
<b>Other</b>	0	0.0	3	6.3
Hospital or emergency room	0	0.0	1	2.1
Home of person youth is having sex with	0	0.0	2	4.2

\*Six young people did not respond to the question about date of birth.



**Table C17. High School Diploma or GED by Age**

	13-17 year olds (n = 13)*		18-25 year olds (n = 37)*	
	#	%	#	%
	Has a High School Diploma or GED	1	7.7	26

\*Data were missing for one 13 to 17 year old and eleven 18 to 25 year olds.

**Table C18. School Attendance by Age**

	13-17 year olds (n = 13)*		18-25 year olds (n = 36)*	
	#	%	#	%
	Attending school	9	69.2	5

\*Data were missing for one 13 to 17 year old and twelve 18 to 25 year olds.

**Table C19. Employment by Age**

	13-17 year olds (n = 13)*		18-25 year olds (n = 37)*	
	#	%	#	%
	Employed	4	30.8	12

\*Data were missing for one 13 to 17 year old and eleven 18 to 25 year olds.

**Table C20. Ever in Foster Care by Age**

	13-17 year olds (n = 13)*		18-25 year olds (n = 36)*	
	#	%	#	%
	Ever in Foster Care	9	69.2	11

\*Data were missing for one 13 to 17 year old and twelve 18 to 25 year olds.

**Table C21. Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail or Prison by Age**

	13-17 year olds (n = 13)*		18-25 year olds (n = 36)*	
	#	%	#	%
	Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail or Prison	4	30.8	22

\*Data were missing for one 13 to 17 year old and twelve 18 to 25 year olds.

**Table C22. Public Assistance Receipt by Age**

	13-17 year olds (n = 13)*		18-25 year olds (n = 36)*	
	#	%	#	%
	Receives Public Assistance	10	76.9	11

\*Data were missing for one 13 to 17 year old and twelve 18 to 25 year olds.

**Table C23. Pregnancy or Parenting by Age**

	13-17 year olds (n = 12)*		18-25 year olds (n = 38)*	
	#	%	#	%
	Pregnant or a Parent	1	8.3	6

\*Data were missing for one 13 to 17 year old and ten 18 to 25 year olds.

## Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth by Race/Ethnicity

Tables C24 – C28 show the relationship between the race/ethnicity of the homeless and unstably housed youth and several characteristics. The denominator used to calculate the percentages is the number of youth who identified as being a particular race or ethnicity.

**Table C24. High School Diploma or GED by Race/Ethnicity\***

Race/Ethnicity	Has a High School Diploma or GED	
	#	%
Black/African American ( <i>n</i> = 3)	1	33.3
Hispanic ( <i>n</i> = 3)	1	33.3
Multiracial ( <i>n</i> = 6)	2	33.3
Other ( <i>n</i> = 2)	1	50.0
White ( <i>n</i> = 37)	22	59.5

\*Data were missing for 17 respondents.

**Table C25. School Attendance by Race/Ethnicity\***

Race/Ethnicity	Attending School	
	#	%
Black/African American ( <i>n</i> = 3)	0	0.0
Hispanic ( <i>n</i> = 3)	1	33.3
Multiracial ( <i>n</i> = 6)	1	16.7
Other ( <i>n</i> = 2)	2	100.0
White ( <i>n</i> = 36)	10	27.8

\*Data were missing for 18 respondents.

**Table C26. Employment by Race/Ethnicity\***

Race/Ethnicity	Currently Employed	
	#	%
Black/African American ( <i>n</i> = 3)	0	0.0
Hispanic ( <i>n</i> = 3)	0	0.0
Multiracial ( <i>n</i> = 6)	2	33.3
Other ( <i>n</i> = 2)	0	0.0
White ( <i>n</i> = 37)	13	35.1

\*Data were missing for 17 respondents.

**Table C27. Ever in Foster Care by Race/Ethnicity\***

Race/Ethnicity	Ever in Foster Care	
	#	%
Black/African American ( <i>n</i> = 3)	1	33.3
Hispanic ( <i>n</i> = 3)	2	66.7
Multiracial ( <i>n</i> = 6)	5	83.3
Other ( <i>n</i> = 2)	1	50.0
White ( <i>n</i> = 37)	11	29.7

\*Data were missing for 17 respondents.

**Table C28. Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail, or Prison by Race/Ethnicity\***

Race/Ethnicity	Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail or Prison	
	#	%
Black/African American ( <i>n</i> = 3)	2	66.7
Hispanic ( <i>n</i> = 3)	2	66.7
Multiracial ( <i>n</i> = 6)	4	66.7
Other ( <i>n</i> = 2)	1	50.0
White ( <i>n</i> = 37)	18	48.7

\*Data were missing for 17 respondents.

## Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth by Gender Identity

Tables C29 – C35 show the relationship between the gender identity of the homeless and unstably housed youth and several characteristics. The denominator used to calculate the percentages is the number of youth who identified as being a particular gender.

**Table C29. High School Diploma or GED by Gender Identity\***

Gender Identity	Has a High School Diploma or GED	
	#	%
Female ( <i>n</i> = 11)	5	45.5
Male ( <i>n</i> = 20)	9	45.5
Other ( <i>n</i> = 1)	9	0.0

\*Data were missing for 36 respondents.

**Table C30. School Attendance by Gender Identity\***

Gender Identity	Attending School	
	#	%
Female ( <i>n</i> = 10)	6	60.0
Male ( <i>n</i> = 20)	6	30.0
Other ( <i>n</i> = 1)	0	0.0

\*Data were missing for 37 respondents.

**Table C31. Employment by Gender Identity\***

Gender Identity	Currently Employed	
	#	%
Female ( <i>n</i> = 11)	4	36.4
Male ( <i>n</i> = 20)	6	30.0
Other ( <i>n</i> = 1)	0	0.0

\*Data were missing for 36 respondents.

**Table C32. Ever in Foster Care by Gender Identity\***

Gender Identity	Ever in Foster Care	
	#	%
Female ( <i>n</i> = 11)	9	81.8
Male ( <i>n</i> = 20)	7	35.0
Other ( <i>n</i> = 1)	0	0.0

\*Data were missing for 36 respondents.

**Table C33. Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail or Prison by Gender Identity\***

Gender Identity	Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail or Prison	
	#	%
Female ( <i>n</i> = 11)	5	45.5
Male ( <i>n</i> = 20)	11	55.0
Other ( <i>n</i> = 1)	1	100.0

\*Data were missing for 36 respondents.

**Table C34. Pregnant or a Parent by Gender Identity\***

Gender Identity	Pregnant or a Parent	
	#	%
Female ( <i>n</i> = 10)	2	20.0
Male ( <i>n</i> = 20)	4	18.2
Other ( <i>n</i> = 1)	0	0.0

\*Data were missing for 35 respondents.

**Table C35. Custodial Parent by Gender Identity\***

Gender Identity	Custodial Parent	
	#	%
Female ( <i>n</i> = 2)	1	50.0
Male ( <i>n</i> = 4)	3	75.0

\*Only includes young people who were pregnant or parents.

## Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth by Sexual Orientation

Tables C36 – C37 show the relationship between the sexual orientation of the homeless and unstably housed youth and systems involvement. The denominator used to calculate the percentages is the number of youth who identified themselves as a certain sexual orientation.

Responses were coded as “at least somewhat attracted to same sex” if youth identified as mostly heterosexual, bisexual, mostly homosexual, or 100% homosexual; and “other sexual orientation” if youth identified as not sexually attracted to either males or females, other, or don’t know.

**Table C36. Ever in Foster Care by Sexual Orientation\***

Sexual Orientation	Ever in Foster Care	
	#	%
100% heterosexual ( <i>n</i> = 37)	10	27.0
At least somewhat attracted to same sex ( <i>n</i> = 9)	7	77.8
Other sexual orientation ( <i>n</i> = 3)	2	66.7

\*Data were missing for 19 respondents.

**Table C37. Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail or Prison by Sexual Orientation\***

Sexual Orientation	Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail or Prison	
	#	%
100% heterosexual ( <i>n</i> = 37)	21	56.8
At least somewhat attracted to same sex ( <i>n</i> = 9)	6	66.7
Other sexual orientation ( <i>n</i> = 3)	0	0.0

\*Data were missing for 19 respondents.

## Education and Employment of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth

Tables C38 – C40 provide additional information about the education and employment of the homeless and unstably housed youth. In the first table, the percentages in the cells sum to 100%.

**Table C38. Employment by School Attendance for 18 to 25 Year Olds ( $n = 36$ )\***

Attending School	Employed			
	Yes ( $n = 11$ )		No ( $n = 25$ )	
	#	%	#	%
Yes ( $n = 5$ )	1	2.8	4	11.1
No ( $n = 31$ )	10	27.8	21	58.3

\*Data were missing for 12 respondents.

**Table C39. School Attendance by High School Diploma or GED for 18 to 25 Year Olds\***

High School Diploma or GED	Attending School	
	#	%
Yes ( $n = 25$ )	2	8.0
No ( $n = 11$ )	3	27.3

\*Data were missing for 12 respondents.

**Table C40. Employment by High School Diploma or GED for 18 to 25 Year Olds\***

High School Diploma or GED	Employed	
	#	%
Yes ( $n = 26$ )	9	34.6
No ( $n = 11$ )	3	27.3

\*Data were missing for 11 respondents.



## Systems Involvement of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth

Table C41 shows the relationship between whether the homeless and unstably housed youth had ever been in foster care and whether they had ever spent time in in juvenile detention, jail or prison. The percentages in the cells sum to 100%.

<b>Table C41. Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail or Prison by Ever in Foster Care (<i>n</i> = 31)*</b>				
<b>Ever in Foster Care</b>	<b>Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail or Prison</b>			
	<b>Yes (<i>n</i> = 27)</b>		<b>No (<i>n</i> = 24)</b>	
	<b>#</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>#</b>	<b>%</b>
Yes ( <i>n</i> = 20)	12	23.5	8	15.7
No ( <i>n</i> = 31)	15	29.4	16	31.4

\*Data were missing for 17 respondents.

## Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth by Pregnant or Parenting Status

Table C42 show the relationship between whether the homeless and unstably housed youth were pregnant or parenting and receiving public benefits.

<b>Table C42. Currently Receives Public Benefits by Pregnant or a Parent *</b>		
<b>Pregnant or Parenting</b>	<b>Currently Receives Public Benefits</b>	
	<b>#</b>	<b>%</b>
Yes ( <i>n</i> = 7)	5	71.4
No ( <i>n</i> = 43)	16	37.2

\*Data were missing for 18 respondents.

# Appendix D. Medium-Sized-Counties Sample Brief Youth Survey Data Tables

## VoYC Brief Youth Survey Sample

**Table D1. Brief Youth Survey Records (*n* = 1762)**

	#	%
Total records	1762	100.0
Homeless or unstably housed	1112	63.1
Records dropped	650	36.9
Not between 13 and 25 years old	52	8.0
Not homeless or unstably housed	442	68.0
Did not consent	107	16.5
Previously surveyed	7	1.1
Removed during de-duplication	42	6.5

**Table D2. Where Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth Were Surveyed (*n* = 1112)**

	#	%
Street Count	616	55.4
Organizational Count	248	22.3
Community Count	248	22.3

## Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth

**Table D3. Where Youth Stayed the Night Before the Count (*n* = 1112)**

	#	%
<b>Sheltered</b>	520	46.8
Emergency or temporary shelter	375	33.7
Transitional housing	87	7.8
Hotel or motel	58	5.2
<b>Unsheltered</b>	311	28.0
Car or other vehicle	32	2.9
Abandoned building/vacant unit/squat	24	2.2
On a train/bus or in a station	6	0.5
24-hour retail establishment	9	0.8
Outside	240	21.6
<b>Unstably Housed</b>	206	18.5
Home of parent	26	2.3
Own apartment or house	12	1.1
Home of other relative	20	1.8
Foster family home	3	0.3
Home of BF/GF	8	0.7
Home of friend	137	12.3
<b>Other</b>	75	6.7
Residential treatment facility	4	0.4
Hospital or emergency room	12	1.1
Juvenile detention center or jail	4	0.4
Home of person youth is having sex with	48	4.3
Other	7	0.6

**Table D4. Age in Years of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth (*n* = 1056)\***

	#	%
13 to 17	111	10.5
18 to 21	407	38.5
22 to 25	538	51.0

\*Age could not be computed for 56 young people who did not respond to the question about date of birth.

**Table D5. Race/Ethnicity of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth (*n* = 1066)\***

	#	%
American Indian	12	1.1
Asian	11	1.9
Black/African American	472	44.3
Hispanic	120	11.3
Multiracial	78	7.3
Other	26	2.4
Pacific Islander	8	0.8
White	337	31.6
Don't know	2	0.2

\*Forty-six young people did not respond to the question about race/ethnicity.

**Table D6. Gender Identity of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth (*n* = 988)\***

	#	%
Female	291	29.5
Male	679	68.7
Transgender M-F	4	0.4
Transgender F-M	2	0.2
Intersex	2	0.2
Genderqueer/Nonconforming	3	0.3
Other	6	0.6
Don't know	1	0.1

\*One hundred and twenty-four young people did not respond to the question about gender identity.

**Table D7. Sexual Orientation of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth (n = 1061)\***

	#	%
100% heterosexual/straight	851	80.2
Mostly heterosexual but attracted to own sex	39	3.7
Bisexual/equally attracted to men and women	79	7.5
Mostly gay/lesbian but attracted to opposite sex	9	0.9
100% gay/lesbian	59	5.6
Not sexually attracted to either males or females	10	0.9
Other	10	0.9
Don't know	4	0.4

\*Fifty-one young people did not respond to the question about sexual orientation.

**Table D8. High School Diploma or GED (n = 1074)\***

	#	%
Yes	669	62.3
No	400	37.2
Don't know	5	0.5

\*Thirty-eight young people did not respond to the question about high school diploma/GED.

**Table D9. Currently Attending School (n = 1071)\***

	#	%
Yes	283	26.4
No	783	73.1
Don't know	5	0.5

\*Forty-one young people did not respond to the question about current school attendance.

**Table D10. Currently Employed (n = 1067)\***

	#	%
Yes	361	33.8
No	697	65.3
Don't know	9	0.8

\*Forty-five young people did not respond to the question about current employment.

**Table D11. Ever in Foster Care (*n* = 1063)\***

	#	%
Yes	323	30.4
No	736	69.2
Don't know	4	0.4

\*Forty-nine young people did not respond to the question about foster care.

**Table D12. Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail, or Prison (*n* = 1056)\***

	#	%
Yes	530	50.2
No	524	49.6
Don't know	2	0.2

\*Fifty-six young people did not respond to the question about juvenile detention, jail or prison.

**Table D13. Currently Receives Public Assistance Benefits (*n* = 1067)\***

	#	%
Yes	471	44.1
No	592	55.5
Don't know	4	0.4

\*Forty-five young people did not respond to the question about public assistance benefits.

**Table D14. Pregnant or a Parent (*n* = 1043)\***

	#	%
Yes	211	20.2
No	823	78.9
Don't know	9	0.9

\*Sixty-nine young people did not respond to the question about pregnancy or parenting.

**Table D15. Custodial Parent (*n* = 186)\***

	#	%
Yes	118	63.4
No	62	33.3
Don't know	6	3.2

\*Only includes youth who were pregnant or parents. Twenty-five young people did not answer the question.

## Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth by Age

Tables D16 – D23 compare the homeless and unstably housed youth who were 13 to 17 years old to the homeless and unstably housed youth who were 18 to 25 years old.

<b>Table D16. Where Youth Stayed the Night Before the Count by Age*</b>				
	<b>13-17 year olds</b> (n = 111)		<b>18-25 year olds</b> (n = 945)	
	#	%	#	%
<b>Sheltered</b>	52	46.9	448	47.4
Emergency or temporary shelter	23	20.7	337	35.7
Transitional housing	22	19.8	61	6.5
Hotel or motel	7	6.3	50	5.3
<b>Unsheltered</b>	8	7.2	282	29.8
Car or other vehicle	2	1.8	29	3.1
Abandoned building/vacant unit/squat	0	0	23	2.4
On a train/bus or in a station	0	0	6	0.6
24-hour retail establishment	0	0	7	0.7
Outside	6	5.4	217	23.0
<b>Unstably Housed</b>	41	36.9	156	16.5
Home of parent	7	6.3	18	1.9
Own apartment or house	3	2.7	9	1.0
Home of other relative	1	0.9	19	2.0
Foster family home	2	1.8	1	0.1
Home of BF/GF	1	0.9	7	0.7
Home of friend	27	24.3	102	10.8
<b>Other</b>	10	9.0	59	6.2
Residential treatment facility	0	0	3	0.3
Hospital or emergency room	1	0.9	10	1.1
Juvenile detention center or jail	1	0.9	3	0.3
Home of person youth is having sex with	7	6.3	37	3.9
Other	1	0.9	6	0.6

\*Fifty-six young people did not respond to the question about date of birth.

**Table D17. High School Diploma or GED by Age**

	13-17 year olds (n = 108)*		18-25 year olds (n = 918)*	
	#	%	#	%
	Has a High School Diploma or GED	25	23.2	618

\*Data were missing for three 13 to 17 year olds and twenty-seven 18 to 25 year olds.

**Table D18. School Attendance by Age**

	13-17 year olds (n = 109)*		18-25 year olds (n = 913)*	
	#	%	#	%
	Attending school	78	71.6	194

\*Data were missing for two 13 to 17 year olds and thirty-two 18 to 25 year olds.

**Table D19. Employment by Age**

	13-17 year olds (n = 106)*		18-25 year olds (n = 914)*	
	#	%	#	%
	Employed	35	33.0	311

\*Data were missing for five 13 to 17 year olds and thirty-one 18 to 25 year olds.

**Table D20. Ever in Foster Care by Age**

	13-17 year olds (n = 107)*		18-25 year olds (n = 910)*	
	#	%	#	%
	Ever in Foster Care	21	19.6	283

\*Data were missing for four 13 to 17 year olds and thirty-five 18 to 25 year olds.



**Table D21. Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail or Prison by Age**

	13-17 year olds (n = 108)*		18-25 year olds (n = 903)*	
	#	%	#	%
	Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail or Prison	24	22.2	486

\*Data were missing for three 13 to 17 year olds and forty-two 18 to 25 year olds.

**Table D22. Public Assistance Receipt by Age**

	13-17 year olds (n = 107)*		18-25 year olds (n = 913)*	
	#	%	#	%
	Receives Public Assistance	47	43.9	405

\*Data were missing for four 13 to 17 year olds and thirty-two 18 to 25 year olds.

**Table D23. Pregnancy or Parenting by Age**

	13-17 year olds (n = 105)*		18-25 year olds (n = 890)*	
	#	%	#	%
	Pregnant or a Parent	7	6.7	196

\*Data were missing for six 13 to 17 year olds and fifty-five 18 to 25 year olds.

## Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth by Race/Ethnicity

Tables D24 – D28 show the relationship between the race/ethnicity of the homeless and unstably housed youth and several characteristics. The denominator used to calculate the percentages is the number of youth who identified as being a particular race or ethnicity.

**Table D24. High School Diploma or GED by Race/Ethnicity\***

Race/Ethnicity	Has a High School Diploma or GED	
	#	%
Black/African American ( <i>n</i> = 464)	295	63.6
Hispanic ( <i>n</i> = 118)	62	52.5
Multiracial ( <i>n</i> = 77)	54	70.1
Other ( <i>n</i> = 57)**	29	50.9
White ( <i>n</i> = 334)	214	64.1

\*Data were missing for 62 respondents.

\*\*Other includes young people who identified as American Indian, Asian, Other, Pacific Islander or don't know.

**Table D25. School Attendance by Race/Ethnicity\***

Race/Ethnicity	Attending School	
	#	%
Black/African American ( <i>n</i> = 465)	145	31.2
Hispanic ( <i>n</i> = 118)	30	25.4
Multiracial ( <i>n</i> = 78)	18	23.1
Other ( <i>n</i> = 57)**	22	38.6
White ( <i>n</i> = 334)	65	19.5

\*Data were missing for 60 respondents.

\*\*Other includes young people who identified as American Indian, Asian, Other, Pacific Islander or don't know.

**Table D26. Employment by Race/Ethnicity\***

Race/Ethnicity	Currently Employed	
	#	%
Black/African American ( <i>n</i> = 463)	173	37.4
Hispanic ( <i>n</i> = 116)	42	36.2
Multiracial ( <i>n</i> = 78)	26	33.3
Other ( <i>n</i> = 56)**	23	41.4
White ( <i>n</i> = 330)	90	27.3

\*Data were missing for 69 respondents.

\*\*Other includes young people who identified as American Indian, Asian, Other, Pacific Islander or don't know.

**Table D27. Ever in Foster Care by Race/Ethnicity\***

Race/Ethnicity	Ever in Foster Care	
	#	%
Black/African American ( <i>n</i> = 461)	110	23.9
Hispanic ( <i>n</i> = 116)	30	25.9
Multiracial ( <i>n</i> = 78)	44	56.4
Other ( <i>n</i> = 57)**	29	50.9
White ( <i>n</i> = 330)	104	31.5

\*Data were missing for 70 respondents.

\*\*Other includes young people who identified as American Indian, Asian, Other, Pacific Islander or don't know.

**Table D28. Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail or Prison by Race/Ethnicity\***

Race/Ethnicity	Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail or Prison	
	#	%
Black/African American ( <i>n</i> = 462)	210	45.5
Hispanic ( <i>n</i> = 114)	60	52.6
Multiracial ( <i>n</i> = 76)	40	52.6
Other ( <i>n</i> = 55)**	30	54.5
White ( <i>n</i> = 329)	181	55.0

\*Data were missing for 76 respondents.

\*\*Other includes young people who identified as American Indian, Asian, Other, Pacific Islander or don't know.

## Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth by Gender Identity

Tables D29 – D35 show the relationship between the gender identity of the homeless and unstably housed youth and several characteristics. The denominator used to calculate the percentages is the number of youth who identified as being a particular gender.

**Table D29. High School Diploma or GED by Gender Identity\***

Gender Identity	Has a High School Diploma or GED	
	#	%
Female ( <i>n</i> = 289)	176	60.9
Male ( <i>n</i> = 667)	419	62.8
Other ( <i>n</i> = 17)	11	64.7

\*Data were missing for 139 respondents.

**Table D30. School Attendance by Gender Identity\***

Gender Identity	Attending School	
	#	%
Female ( <i>n</i> = 285)	102	35.8
Male ( <i>n</i> = 666)	153	23.0
Other ( <i>n</i> = 18)	4	22.2

\*Data were missing for 143 respondents.

**Table D31. Employment by Gender Identity\***

Gender Identity	Currently Employed	
	#	%
Female ( <i>n</i> = 284)	113	37.8
Male ( <i>n</i> = 664)	207	31.2
Other ( <i>n</i> = 18)	9	50.0

\*Data were missing for 146 respondents.

**Table D32. Ever in Foster Care by Gender Identity\***

Gender Identity	Ever in Foster Care	
	#	%
Female ( <i>n</i> = 282)	93	33.0
Male ( <i>n</i> = 666)	186	27.9
Other ( <i>n</i> = 18)	7	38.9

\*Data were missing for 146 respondents.

**Table D33. Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail or Prison by Gender Identity\***

Gender Identity	Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail or Prison	
	#	%
Female ( <i>n</i> = 285)	102	35.8
Male ( <i>n</i> = 658)	371	56.4
Other ( <i>n</i> = 18)	10	55.6

\*Data were missing for 151 respondents.

**Table D34. Pregnant or a Parent by Gender Identity\***

Gender Identity	Pregnant or a Parent	
	#	%
Female ( <i>n</i> = 284)	101	35.6
Male ( <i>n</i> = 650)	91	14.0
Other ( <i>n</i> = 17)	4	23.5

\*Data were missing for 161 respondents.

**Table D35. Custodial Parent by Gender Identity\***

Gender Identity	Custodial Parent	
	#	%
Female ( <i>n</i> = 89)	69	77.5
Male ( <i>n</i> = 83)	42	50.6

\*Only includes young people who were pregnant or parents. Twenty young people did not answer the question.

## Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth by Sexual Orientation

Tables D36 – D37 show the relationship between the sexual orientation of the homeless and unstably housed youth and systems involvement. The denominator used to calculate the percentages is the number of youth who identified themselves as a certain sexual orientation.

Responses were coded as “at least somewhat attracted to same sex” if youth identified as mostly heterosexual, bisexual, mostly homosexual, or 100% homosexual; and “other sexual orientation” if youth identified as not sexually attracted to either males or females or other.

**Table D36. Ever in Foster Care by Sexual Orientation\***

Sexual Orientation	Ever in Foster Care	
	#	%
100% heterosexual ( <i>n</i> = 832)	230	27.6
At least somewhat attracted to same sex ( <i>n</i> = 182)	75	41.2
Other sexual orientation ( <i>n</i> = 20)	9	45.0
Don't know ( <i>n</i> = 4)	2	50.0

\*Data were missing for 74 respondents.

**Table D37. Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail or Prison by Sexual Orientation\***

Sexual Orientation	Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail or Prison	
	#	%
100% heterosexual ( <i>n</i> = 832)	422	50.7
At least somewhat attracted to same sex ( <i>n</i> = 176)	90	51.1
Other sexual orientation ( <i>n</i> = 19)	9	47.4
Don't know ( <i>n</i> = 4)	1	25.0

\*Data were missing for 81 respondents.

## Education and Employment of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth

Tables D38 – D40 provide additional information about the education and employment of the homeless and unstably housed youth. In the first table, the percentages in the cells sum to 100%.

**Table D38. Employment by School Attendance for 18 to 25 Year Olds (*n* = 902)\***

Attending School	Employed					
	Yes ( <i>n</i> = 307)		No ( <i>n</i> = 589)		Don't know ( <i>n</i> = 6)	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Yes ( <i>n</i> = 191)	99	11.0	92	10.2	0	0
No ( <i>n</i> = 710)	207	22.9	497	55.1	6	0.7
Don't know ( <i>n</i> = 1)	1	0.1	0	0	0	0

\*Data were missing for 43 respondents.

**Table D39. School Attendance by High School Diploma or GED for 18 to 25 Year Olds\***

High School Diploma or GED	Attending School	
	#	%
Yes ( <i>n</i> = 608)	111	18.3
No ( <i>n</i> = 296)	81	27.4
Don't know ( <i>n</i> = 2)	0	0

\*Data were missing for 39 respondents.

**Table D40. Employment by High School Diploma or GED for 18 to 25 Year Olds\***

High School Diploma or GED	Employed	
	#	%
Yes ( <i>n</i> = 609)	230	37.8
No ( <i>n</i> = 297)	76	25.6
Don't know ( <i>n</i> = 2)	1	50.0

\*Data were missing for 37 respondents.

## Systems Involvement among of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth

Table D41 shows the relationship between whether the homeless and unstably housed youth had ever been in foster care and whether they had ever spent time in in juvenile detention, jail or prison. The percentages in the cells sum to 100%.

**Table D41. Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail or Prison by Ever in Foster Care (n = 1045)\***

Ever in Foster Care	Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail or Prison					
	Yes (n = 527)		No (n = 516)		Don't know (n = 2)	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Yes (n = 315)	203	19.4	111	10.6	1	0.1
No (n = 726)	322	30.8	403	38.6	1	0.1
Don't know (n = 4)	2	0.2	2	0.2	0	0

\*Data were missing for 67 respondents.

## Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth by Pregnant or Parenting Status

Table D42 show the relationship between whether the homeless and unstably housed youth were pregnant or parenting and receiving public benefits.

**Table D42. Currently Receives Public Benefits by Pregnant or a Parent \***

Pregnant or Parenting	Currently Receives Public Benefits	
	#	%
Yes (n = 207)	125	60.4
No (n = 808)	323	40.0
Don't know (n = 9)	4	44.4

\*Data were missing for 88 respondents.



# Appendix E. 22-County Aggregate Sample Brief Youth Survey Data Tables

## VoYC Brief Youth Survey Sample

**Table E1. Brief Youth Survey Records (n = 7839)\***

	#	%
Total records	7389	100.0
Homeless or unstably housed	4139	56.0
Records dropped	3250	44.0
Not between 13 and 25 years old	133	4.1
Not homeless or unstably housed	2717	83.6
Did not consent	245	7.5
Previously surveyed	8	0.2
Removed during de-duplication	147	4.5

**Table E2. Where Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth Were Surveyed (n = 4139)\***

	#	%
Street Count	2318	56.0
Organizational Count	862	20.8
Community Count	959	23.2

## Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth

**Table E3. Where Youth Stayed the Night Before the Count (n = 4139)\***

	#	%
<b>Sheltered</b>	1968	47.6
Emergency or temporary shelter	1179	28.5
Transitional housing	582	14.1
Hotel or motel	207	5.0
<b>Unsheltered</b>	998	24.1
Car or other vehicle	147	3.6
Abandoned building/vacant unit/squat	89	2.2
On a train/bus or in a station	54	1.3
24-hour retail establishment	17	0.4
Outside	691	16.7
<b>Unstably Housed</b>	774	18.7
Home of parent	109	2.6
Own apartment or house	52	1.3
Home of other relative	84	2.0
Foster family home	8	0.2
Group home	1	0.0
Home of BF/GF	22	0.5
Home of friend	498	12.0
<b>Other</b>	399	9.6
Residential treatment facility	45	1.1
Hospital or emergency room	29	0.7
Juvenile detention center or jail	22	0.5
Home of person youth is having sex with	268	6.5
Other	35	0.9

**Table E4. Age in Years of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth (n = 3916)\***

	#	%
13 to 17	504	12.9
18 to 21	1672	42.7
22 to 25	1740	44.4

\*Age could not be computed for 223 young people who did not respond to the question about date of birth.

**Table E5. Race/Ethnicity of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth (n = 3947)\***

	#	%
American Indian	67	1.7
Asian	48	1.2
Black/African American	1861	47.2
Hispanic	470	11.9
Multiracial	354	9.0
Other	92	2.3
Pacific Islander	41	1.0
White	1006	25.5
Don't know	8	0.2

\*192 young people did not respond to the question about race/ethnicity.

**Table E6. Gender Identity of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth (n = 3669)\***

	#	%
Female	1371	37.4
Male	2176	59.3
Transgender M-F	40	1.1
Transgender F-M	16	0.4
Genderqueer/Nonconforming	36	1.0
Intersex	7	0.2
Other	18	0.5
Don't know	5	0.1

\*470 young people did not respond to the question about gender identity.

**Table E7. Sexual Orientation of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth (n = 3870)\***

	#	%
100% heterosexual/straight	2964	76.6
Mostly heterosexual but attracted to own sex	166	4.3
Bisexual/equally attracted to men and women	379	9.8
Mostly gay/lesbian but attracted to opposite sex	52	1.3
100% gay/lesbian	206	5.3
Not sexually attracted to either males or females	36	0.9
Other	45	1.2
Don't know	22	0.6

\*269 young people did not respond to the question about sexual orientation.

**Table E8. High School Diploma or GED (n = 3984)\***

	#	%
Yes	2365	59.4
No	1608	40.4
Don't know	11	0.3

\*155 young people did not respond to the question about high school diploma/GED.

**Table E9. Currently Attending School (n = 3959)\***

	#	%
Yes	1228	31.0
No	2714	68.6
Don't know	17	0.4

\*180 young people who did not respond to the question about current school attendance.

**Table E10. Currently Employed (n = 3952)\***

	#	%
Yes	1292	32.7
No	2631	66.6
Don't know	29	0.7

\*187 young people who did not respond to the question about current employment.

**Table E11. Ever in Foster Care (n = 3960)\***

	#	%
Yes	1130	28.5
No	2795	70.6
Don't know	35	0.9

\*179 young people did not respond to the question about foster care.

**Table E12. Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail, or Prison (n = 3898)\***

	#	%
Yes	1775	45.5
No	2106	54.0
Don't know	17	0.4

\*241 young people did not respond to the question about juvenile detention, jail, or prison.

**Table E13. Currently Receives Public Assistance Benefits (n = 3950)\***

	#	%
Yes	1918	48.6
No	1982	50.2
Don't know	50	1.3

\*189 young people who did not respond to the question about public assistance benefits.

**Table E14. Pregnant or a Parent (n = 3853)\***

	#	%
Yes	913	23.7
No	2894	75.1
Don't know	46	1.2

\*286 young people did not respond to the question about pregnancy or parenting.

**Table E15. Custodial Parent (n = 820)\***

	#	%
Yes	537	65.5
No	260	31.7
Don't know	23	2.8

\*Only includes youth who were pregnant or parents; 93 young people who were pregnant or a parent and did not answer the question.

## Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth by Age

Tables E16 – E23 compare the homeless and unstably housed youth who were 13 to 17 years old to the homeless and unstably housed youth who were 18 to 25 years old.

<b>Table E16. Where Youth Stayed the Night Before the Count by Age*</b>				
	<b>13-17 year olds</b> (n = 504)		<b>18-25 year olds</b> (n = 3412)	
	#	%	#	%
<b>Sheltered</b>	229	45.4	1643	48.2
Emergency or temporary shelter	118	23.4	1003	29.4
Transitional housing	77	15.3	477	14.0
Hotel or motel	34	6.8	163	4.8
<b>Unsheltered</b>	65	12.9	851	25.0
Car or other vehicle	22	4.4	115	3.4
Abandoned building/vacant unit/squat	8	1.6	68	2.0
On a train/bus or in a station	3	0.6	43	1.3
24-hour retail establishment	2	0.4	12	0.4
Outside	30	6.0	613	18.0
<b>Unstably Housed</b>	139	27.6	607	17.8
Home of parent	33	6.6	74	2.2
Own apartment or house	6	1.2	46	1.4
Home of other relative	9	1.8	72	2.1
Foster family home	5	1.0	2	0.1
Group home	1	0.2	0	0
Home of BF/GF	1	0.2	21	0.6
Home of friend	84	16.7	392	11.5
<b>Other</b>	71	14.1	311	9.1
Residential treatment facility	3	0.6	40	1.2
Hospital or emergency room	2	0.4	23	0.7
Juvenile detention center or jail	6	1.2	15	0.4
Home of person youth is having sex with	51	10.1	208	6.1
Other	9	1.8	25	0.7

\*223 young people did not respond to the question about date of birth.

**Table E17. High School Diploma or GED by Age**

Has a High School Diploma or GED	13-17 year olds (n = 483)*		18-25 year olds (n = 3300)*	
	#	%	#	%
Yes	65	13.5	2185	66.2
No	416	86.1	1110	33.6
Don't know	2	0.4	5	0.2

\*Data were missing for twenty-one 13 to 17 year olds and one hundred and twelve 18 to 25 year olds.

**Table E18. Currently Attending School by Age**

Currently Attending School	13-17 year olds (n = 484)*		18-25 year olds (n = 3271)*	
	#	%	#	%
Yes	351	72.5	822	25.1
No	132	27.3	2437	74.5
Don't know	1	0.2	12	0.4

\*Data were missing for twenty 13 to 17 year olds and one hundred and forty-one 18 to 25 year olds.

**Table E19. Currently Employed by Age**

Currently Employed	13-17 year olds (n = 480)*		18-25 year olds (n = 3275)*	
	#	%	#	%
Yes	128	26.7	1121	34.2
No	349	72.7	2132	65.1
Don't know	3	0.6	22	0.7

\*Data were missing for twenty-four 13 to 17 year olds and one hundred and thirty-seven 18 to 25 year olds.

**Table E20. Ever in Foster Care by Age**

Ever in Foster Care	13-17 year olds (n = 480)*		18-25 year olds (n = 3285)*	
	#	%	#	%
Yes	104	21.7	968	29.5
No	372	77.5	2289	69.7
Don't know	4	0.8	28	0.9

\*Data were missing for twenty-four 13 to 17 year olds and one hundred and twenty-seven 18 to 25 year olds.

**Table E21. Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail or Prison by Age**

Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail or Prison	13-17 year olds (n = 480)*		18-25 year olds (n = 3230)*	
	#	%	#	%
Yes	128	26.7	1575	48.8
No	351	73.1	1640	50.8
Don't know	1	0.2	15	0.5

\*Data were missing for twenty-four 13 to 17 year olds and one hundred and eighty-two 18 to 25 year olds.

**Table E22. Public Assistance Receipt by Age**

Currently Receives Public Assistance Benefits	13-17 year olds (n = 479)*		18-25 year olds (n = 3274)*	
	#	%	#	%
Yes	150	31.3	1701	52.0
No	306	63.9	1548	47.3
Don't know	23	4.8	25	5.2

\*Data were missing for twenty-five 13 to 17 year olds and one hundred and thirty-eight 18 to 25 year olds.

**Table E23. Pregnant or a Parent by Age**

Pregnant or Parenting	13-17 year olds (n = 472)*		18-25 year olds (n = 3192)*	
	#	%	#	%
Yes	29	6.1	855	26.8
No	433	91.7	2307	72.3
Don't know	10	2.1	30	0.9

\*Data were missing for thirty-two 13 to 17 year olds and two hundred and twenty 18 to 25 year olds.



## Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth by Race/Ethnicity

Tables E24 – E28 show the relationship between the race/ethnicity of the homeless and unstably housed youth and several characteristics. The denominator used to calculate the percentages is the number of youth who identified as being a particular race or ethnicity.

**Table E24. High School Diploma or GED by Race/Ethnicity\***

Race/Ethnicity	Has a High School Diploma or GED	
	#	%
American Indian ( <i>n</i> = 66)	32	48.5
Asian ( <i>n</i> = 48)	30	62.5
Black ( <i>n</i> = 1828)	1140	62.4
Hispanic ( <i>n</i> = 465)	226	48.6
Multiracial ( <i>n</i> = 353)	210	59.5
Other ( <i>n</i> = 91)	58	63.7
Pacific Islander ( <i>n</i> = 41)	21	51.2
White ( <i>n</i> = 995)	593	59.6
Don't know ( <i>n</i> = 7)	1	14.3

\*Data were missing for 245 respondents.

**Table E25. School Attendance by Race/Ethnicity\***

Race/Ethnicity	Attending School	
	#	%
American Indian ( <i>n</i> = 66)	18	27.3
Asian ( <i>n</i> = 48)	15	31.3
Black ( <i>n</i> = 1833)	623	34.0
Hispanic ( <i>n</i> = 461)	160	34.7
Multiracial ( <i>n</i> = 348)	112	32.2
Other ( <i>n</i> = 89)	27	30.3
Pacific Islander ( <i>n</i> = 41)	13	31.7
White ( <i>n</i> = 989)	238	24.1
Don't know ( <i>n</i> = 7)	3	42.9

\*Data were missing for 257 respondents.

**Table E26. Employment by Race/Ethnicity\***

Race/Ethnicity	Currently Employed	
	#	%
American Indian ( <i>n</i> = 63)	15	23.8
Asian ( <i>n</i> = 47)	20	42.6
Black ( <i>n</i> = 1827)	668	36.6
Hispanic ( <i>n</i> = 461)	143	31.0
Multiracial ( <i>n</i> = 347)	122	35.2
Other ( <i>n</i> = 90)	35	38.9
Pacific Islander ( <i>n</i> = 41)	17	41.5
White ( <i>n</i> = 989)	254	25.7
Don't know ( <i>n</i> = 7)	1	14.3

\*Data were missing for 267 respondents.

**Table E27. Ever in Foster Care by Race/Ethnicity\***

Race/Ethnicity	Ever in Foster Care	
	#	%
American Indian ( <i>n</i> = 65)	22	33.8
Asian ( <i>n</i> = 48)	15	31.3
Black ( <i>n</i> = 1823)	487	26.7
Hispanic ( <i>n</i> = 461)	113	24.5
Multiracial ( <i>n</i> = 353)	143	40.5
Other ( <i>n</i> = 91)	32	35.2
Pacific Islander ( <i>n</i> = 41)	13	31.7
White ( <i>n</i> = 991)	276	27.9
Don't know ( <i>n</i> = 7)	4	57.1

\*Data were missing for 259 respondents.

**Table E28. Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail or Prison by Race/Ethnicity\***

Race/Ethnicity	Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail or Prison	
	#	%
American Indian ( <i>n</i> = 65)	35	56.5
Asian ( <i>n</i> = 48)	13	28.3
Black ( <i>n</i> = 1823)	752	41.5
Hispanic ( <i>n</i> = 461)	201	44.7
Multiracial ( <i>n</i> = 353)	163	47.5
Other ( <i>n</i> = 91)	47	48.5
Pacific Islander ( <i>n</i> = 41)	18	46.2
White ( <i>n</i> = 991)	505	51.7
Don't know ( <i>n</i> = 7)	4	57.1

\*Data were missing for 259 respondents.

## Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth by Gender Identity

Tables E29 – E35 show the relationship between the gender identity of the homeless and unstably housed youth and several characteristics. The denominator used to calculate the percentages is the number of youth who identified as being a particular gender.

**Table E29. High School Diploma or GED by Gender Identity\***

Gender Identity	Has a High School Diploma or GED	
	#	%
Female ( <i>n</i> = 1355)	788	58.2
Male ( <i>n</i> = 2142)	1273	59.4
Other ( <i>n</i> = 116)	77	66.4
Don't know ( <i>n</i> = 4)	2	50.0

\*Data were missing for 522 respondents.

**Table E30. School Attendance by Gender Identity\***

Gender Identity	Attending School	
	#	%
Female ( <i>n</i> = 1345)	468	34.8
Male ( <i>n</i> = 2135)	618	29.0
Other ( <i>n</i> = 117)	32	27.4
Don't know ( <i>n</i> = 4)	2	50.0

\*Data were missing for 538 respondents.

**Table E31. Employment by Gender Identity\***

Gender Identity	Currently Employed	
	#	%
Female ( <i>n</i> = 1345)	471	35.0
Male ( <i>n</i> = 2134)	665	31.2
Other ( <i>n</i> = 116)	38	32.8
Don't know ( <i>n</i> = 4)	2	50.0

\*Data were missing for 540 respondents.

**Table E32. Ever in Foster Care by Gender Identity\***

Gender Identity	Ever in Foster Care	
	#	%
Female ( <i>n</i> = 1348)	394	29.2
Male ( <i>n</i> = 2139)	599	28.0
Other ( <i>n</i> = 116)	34	29.3
Don't know ( <i>n</i> = 4)	2	50.0

\*Data were missing for 532 respondents.

**Table E33. Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail or Prison by Gender Identity\***

Gender Identity	Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail or Prison	
	#	%
Female ( <i>n</i> = 1334)	450	33.7
Male ( <i>n</i> = 2101)	1118	53.2
Other ( <i>n</i> = 112)	49	43.8
Don't know ( <i>n</i> = 4)	2	50.0

\*Data were missing for 588 respondents.

**Table E34. Pregnant or a Parent by Gender Identity\***

Gender Identity	Pregnant or a Parent	
	#	%
Female ( <i>n</i> = 1327)	513	38.7
Male ( <i>n</i> = 2087)	332	15.9
Other ( <i>n</i> = 110)	11	10.0
Don't know ( <i>n</i> = 3)	1	33.3

\*Data were missing for 615 respondents.

**Table E35. Custodial Parent by Gender Identity\***

Gender Identity	Custodial Parent	
	#	%
Female ( <i>n</i> = 469)	370	78.9
Male ( <i>n</i> = 300)	141	47.0
Other ( <i>n</i> = 11)	1	9.1
Don't know ( <i>n</i> = 1)	1	100.0

\*Only includes young people who were pregnant or parents; 76 young people who were pregnant or a parent and did not answer the question.

## Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth by Sexual Orientation

Tables E36 – E37 show the relationship between the sexual orientation of the homeless and unstably housed youth and systems involvement. The denominator used to calculate the percentages is either the number of youth who identified themselves as a certain sexual orientation.

**Table E36. Ever in Foster Care by Sexual Orientation\***

Sexual Orientation	Ever in Foster Care	
	#	%
100% heterosexual ( <i>n</i> = 2914)	781	26.8
At least somewhat attracted to same sex ( <i>n</i> = 792)	273	34.5
Other sexual orientation ( <i>n</i> = 81)	31	38.3
Don't know ( <i>n</i> = 22)	5	22.7

\*Data were missing for 330 respondents.

**Table E37. Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail or Prison by Sexual Orientation\***

Sexual Orientation	Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail or Prison	
	#	%
100% heterosexual ( <i>n</i> = 2883)	1352	46.9
At least somewhat attracted to same sex ( <i>n</i> = 771)	334	43.3
Other sexual orientation ( <i>n</i> = 79)	35	44.3
Don't know ( <i>n</i> = 22)	4	18.2

\*Data were missing for 384 respondents.

## Education and Employment of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth

Tables E38 – E41 provide additional information about the education and employment of the homeless and unstably housed youth. In the first table, the percentages in the cells sum to 100%.

**Table E38. Employment by School Attendance for 18 to 25 Year Olds (*n* = 3231)\***

Attending School	Employed					
	Yes ( <i>n</i> = 1111)		No ( <i>n</i> = 2098)		Don't know ( <i>n</i> = 22)	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Yes ( <i>n</i> = 804)	379	11.7	421	13.0	4	0.1
No ( <i>n</i> = 2415)	730	22.6	1672	51.7	13	0.4
Don't know ( <i>n</i> = 12)	2	0.1	5	0.2	5	0.2

\*Data were missing for 404 respondents.

**Table E39. School Attendance by High School Diploma or GED for 13 to 17 Year Olds\***

High School Diploma or GED	Attending School	
	#	%
Yes ( <i>n</i> = 33)	24	38.1
No ( <i>n</i> = 412)	318	77.2
Don't know ( <i>n</i> = 2)	0	0.0

\*Data were missing for 27 respondents.

**Table E40. School Attendance by High School Diploma or GED for 18 to 25 Year Olds\***

High School Diploma or GED	Attending School	
	#	%
Yes ( <i>n</i> = 2153)	464	21.6
No ( <i>n</i> = 1093)	352	32.2
Don't know ( <i>n</i> = 5)	0	0.0

\*Data were missing for 384 respondents.

**Table E41. Employment by High School Diploma or GED for 18 to 25 Year Olds\***

High School Diploma or GED	Employed	
	#	%
Yes ( <i>n</i> = 2162)	836	38.7
No ( <i>n</i> = 1089)	273	25.1
Don't know ( <i>n</i> = 5)	2	40.0

\*Data were missing for 379 respondents.

## Systems Involvement of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth

Table E42 shows the relationship between whether the homeless and unstably housed youth had ever been in foster care and whether they had ever spent time in in juvenile detention, jail or prison. The percentages in the cells sum to 100%.

**Table E42. Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail or Prison by Ever in Foster Care (*n* = 3876)\***

Ever in Foster Care	Ever in Juvenile Detention, Jail or Prison					
	Yes ( <i>n</i> = 1764)		No ( <i>n</i> = 2087)		Don't know ( <i>n</i> = 16)	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Yes ( <i>n</i> = 1098)	673	17.4	420	10.9	5	0.1
No ( <i>n</i> = 2736)	1076	27.8	1658	42.9	2	0.1
Don't know ( <i>n</i> = 33)	15	0.4	9	0.2	9	0.2

\*Data were missing for 212 respondents.



## Characteristics of Homeless and Unstably Housed Youth by Pregnant or Parenting Status

Table E43 show the relationship between whether the homeless and unstably housed youth were pregnant or parenting and receiving public benefits.

**Table E43. Currently Receives Public Benefits by Pregnant or a Parent \***

<b>Pregnant or Parenting</b>	<b>Currently Receives Public Benefits</b>	
	<b>#</b>	<b>%</b>
Yes ( <i>n</i> = 898)	579	64.5
No ( <i>n</i> = 2845)	1251	44.0
Don't know ( <i>n</i> = 44)	17	38.6

\*Data were missing for 352 respondents.

# Appendix F. Ada County Provider Survey

## Data Tables

**Table F1. Referral Sources for RHY Providers ( $n = 2$ )**

Referral Sources	RHY Providers
	#
Other runaway or homeless youth (peer referral)	2
Other homeless service providers	1
National Runaway Safeline	0
Street outreach programs	0
Schools	2
Law enforcement agencies	2
Youth refer themselves	2
Child welfare agencies	2
Hospitals or other health care providers	0
Other	0

**Table F2. Types of Housing Offered by RHY Providers by Program Type**

Housing Types	Transitional Living ( $n = 1$ )	Permanent Supportive Housing ( $n = 1$ )
	#	#
Only Single Site Housing	1	1
Only Scattered Site Housing	0	0
Both Single and Scattered Site	0	0

**Table F3. Time Limits on RHY Program Participation by Program Type**

	Any Time Limit #	Maximum Length of Stay in Days	
		#	Mean
<b>Emergency Shelters</b>			
Youth under age 18 ( <i>n</i> = 1)	0	n/a	--
Youth age 18 and older ( <i>n</i> = 0)	--	--	--
<b>Transitional Living Programs</b>			
Youth under age 18 ( <i>n</i> = 0)	--	--	--
Youth age 18 and older ( <i>n</i> = 1)	0	n/a	--
<b>Host Home Programs (<i>n</i> = 1)</b>			
	0	n/a	--
<b>Rapid Rehousing Programs (<i>n</i> = 0)</b>			
	--	--	--

**Table F4. Programs Operated by Homeless Adult Service Providers by Age of Youth Served (*n* = 10)**

Program Types	# of providers	# of providers serving youth < 18	# of providers serving youth ≥ 18
Street Outreach Programs	3	1	3
Emergency Shelters	3	2	3
Transitional Living Programs	2	0	2
Permanent Supportive Housing	4	1	4
Rapid Rehousing Programs	2	0	2

**Table F5. Programs Operated by Homeless Family Service Providers by Age of Youth Served (*n* = 10)**

Program Types	# of providers	# of providers serving youth < 18	# of providers serving youth ≥ 18
Street Outreach Programs	2	1	2
Emergency Shelters	3	1	3
Transitional Living Programs	1	0	1
Permanent Supportive Housing	4	0	4
Rapid Rehousing Programs	3	0	3

**Table F6. Types of Youth Serving Organizations Serving RHY (*n* = 8)**

	YSOs
<b>Target Population</b>	<b>#</b>
Low income youth	4
Foster youth/youth in the child welfare system	4
Delinquent youth/youth in the juvenile justice system	3
Pregnant or parenting youth	4
Youth who identify as LGBTQ	4
Middle school students	3
High school students	5
Disconnected (i.e., not in school or working) youth	2

---

## Appendix G. Glossary of Terms

**Continuum of Care** – A Continuum of Care is a regional or local body designed to promote community-wide planning and strategic use of resources to address homelessness; increase service coordination and integration; improve data collection and performance measurement; and allow programs to be tailored to the particular needs of homeless individuals (including unaccompanied youth) and families in each community.

**Drop-In Center** – Drop-in centers provide homeless youth immediate assistance with basic needs such as food, clothing, showers, laundry facilities, bus tokens, and personal hygiene supplies in an informal environment with limited rules or requirements. Drop-in centers may also serve as a hub for other services or connect homeless youth with other service providers.

**Emergency Shelter** – Emergency shelters provide runaway or homeless youth with a safe place to stay as well as short-term services including assistance with basic needs, crisis intervention, assessment, case management, and support for family connection. Basic Centers are federally funded emergency shelters for youth under age 18.

**Host Home** – Host Homes provide homeless youth with stable housing and supports in the homes of community members. Service providers offer coordination, host support, and case management.

**Supportive Housing** – Supportive housing provides “high needs” homeless youth (e.g., youth with mental health or substance use problems) with a combination of non-time-limited affordable housing with wrap-around supportive services.

**Rapid Rehousing** – Rapid rehousing is a housing first approach that provides time-limited rental assistance to help homeless youth become stably housed as quickly as possible. Case management and voluntary supportive services are provided as needed.

**Street Outreach** – Street Outreach focuses on developing relationships between outreach workers and homeless youth, address basic needs for clothing, food, and hygiene supplies, and make referrals to other services. Federally funded street outreach programs also aim to prevent street youth from being sexually exploited or trafficked.

**Transitional Housing**– Transitional housing is time-limited (usually 18-24 months) supportive housing for homeless youth that focuses on developing life skills and engaging youth in education and employment. Transitional housing models include clustered or single-site units with on-site supervision as well as scattered-site units. Federally funded transitional housing programs provide housing and services to youth ages 16 to 22.

**McKinney-Vento Homeless Education Program**—This is the common name for the Education for Homeless Children and Youth (EHCY) program which was authorized under Title VII-B of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act. The McKinney-Vento Act was originally authorized in 1987 and most recently re-authorized in December 2015 by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). That legislation requires state educational agencies (SEAs) to ensure that every homeless child and youth has equal access to the same free, appropriate public education as their non-homeless peers.