

pubs.acs.org/JPCB

Exploring Conformational Landscapes Along Anharmonic Low-Frequency Vibrations

Published as part of The Journal of Physical Chemistry B virtual special issue "Richard J. Saykally Festschrift". Souvik Mondal, Michael A. Sauer, and Matthias Heyden*

Cite This: J. Phys. Chem. B 2024, 128, 7112–7120			Read Online	
ACCESS	III Metrics & More		E Article Recommendations	Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: We aim to automatize the identification of collective variables to simplify and speed up enhanced sampling simulations of conformational dynamics in biomolecules. We focus on anharmonic low-frequency vibrations that exhibit fluctuations on time scales faster than conformational transitions but describe a path of least resistance toward structural change. A key challenge is that harmonic approximations are ill-suited to characterize these vibrations, which are observed at far-infrared frequencies and are easily excited by thermal collisions at room temperature. Here, we approached this problem with a frequency-selective anharmonic (FRESEAN) mode analysis that does not rely on harmonic approximations and successfully isolates anharmonic low-frequency vibrations from short molecular dynamics simulation trajectories. We applied FRESEAN mode analysis to



simulations of alanine dipeptide, a common test system for enhanced sampling simulation protocols, and compared the performance of isolated low-frequency vibrations to conventional user-defined collective variables (here backbone dihedral angles) in enhanced sampling simulations. The comparison shows that enhanced sampling along anharmonic low-frequency vibrations not only reproduces known conformational dynamics but can even further improve the sampling of slow transitions compared to user-defined collective variables. Notably, free energy surfaces spanned by low-frequency anharmonic vibrational modes exhibit lower barriers associated with conformational transitions relative to representations in backbone dihedral space. We thus conclude that anharmonic low-frequency vibrations provide a promising path for highly effective and fully automated enhanced sampling simulations of conformational dynamics in biomolecules.

INTRODUCTION

The structure-function paradigm in structural biology states that the function of a protein is determined by its three-dimensional structure. $^{1-3}$ However, growing evidence continues to show that proteins are dynamically active biological assemblies with a strong connection between structure and dynamics.^{4,5} Many biological functions of proteins such as molecular recognition,⁶ self-assembly,⁷ and enzymatic catalysis,⁸ are strongly associated with conformational changes. The mechanistic characterization of conformational transitions in proteins and a prediction of their impact on biomolecular function remains a significant challenge despite numerous potential applications. For example, the ability to include dynamics in computational protein design may lead to improved catalytic activities for artificial enzymes.^{9,10} Likewise, modulation of conformational dynamics in a protein drug target through ligand binding promises new pathways for the development of therapeutics, e.g., small molecule drugs with allosteric mechanisms.^{11,12}

Several experimental methods can detect the coexistence of protein conformations or transitions between conformations

with varying temporal and spatial resolution. Examples include single-molecular fluorescence, NMR, electron spin resonance, small-angle X-ray scattering, cryo-electron microscopy, and transient or two-dimensional spectroscopy.^{5,13–21} Combined, these methods can describe a rich spectrum of dynamical processes in proteins on time scales ranging from picoseconds to seconds.^{5,22} Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations can provide microscopic insights into such processes with atomistic resolution.²³ However, conformational dynamics associated with protein function often takes place on time scales of microseconds and beyond, which remains a significant challenge for unbiased simulations despite continuing advances in computer hardware and simulation algorithms.^{24–27} There-

 Received:
 April 26, 2024

 Revised:
 June 24, 2024

 Accepted:
 June 26, 2024

 Published:
 July 10, 2024



Current enhanced sampling methods can be categorized in two separate groups: 1) unbiased methods that accelerate the crossing of kinetic barriers in general, e.g., replica exchange $MD^{35,37}$ and Gaussian accelerated MD;^{38,39} 2) biased simulation methods that require reaction coordinates as input. Reaction coordinates are collective variables (CVs) that depend on atomic coordinates and are aligned with slow dynamic processes to be observed. Examples of enhanced sampling strategies that rely on CVs are steered MD,³¹ Umbrella sampling,³⁰ metadynamics,³⁴ weighted ensemble methods,⁴⁰ and others.⁴¹

Enhanced sampling methods based on CVs are best equipped to sample the slowest processes in a biomolecular system. However, the selection of suitable CVs is critical for the sampling performance and affects barrier heights and other features of free energy surfaces, while at the same time being highly nontrivial. Various approaches are frequently used to identify suitable CVs based on structural information or dynamics sampled in unbiased simulations. Structure-based approaches aim to identify low-frequency vibrations as candidates for CVs associated with large-amplitude motions and conformational transitions. CVs describing the vibrations are obtained from harmonic normal-mode analysis with a model of the potential energy of the system.^{42,43} Such models can be based on coarse-grained representations of proteins, e.g., in elastic network models of proteins, or feature atomistic detail. However, the harmonic approximations implied for this analysis are most applicable at high frequencies and become increasingly invalid with decreasing frequency in biomolecular systems.44-46 Extracting CVs directly from dynamic simulations can avoid such problems but often faces challenges from sampling limitations. Principal component analysis (PCA) identifies CVs associated with the largest variance, e.g., the largest amplitude motion, observed in the simulation,^{42,47,48} while time-lagged independent component analysis (TICA) extracts CVs associated with the slowest observed dynamics.^{49,50} Both approaches work well for simulation trajectories of sufficient length to observe the dynamic processes of interest. However, for protein conformational transitions on time scales of multiple microseconds or milliseconds, obtaining such trajectories comes at a considerable computational cost. If simulation trajectories are too short, the analysis depends on rare events that are not reproducible in independent sets of simulations. On the shortest time scales, PCA becomes identical to quasi-harmonic normal-mode analysis,^{51,52} which implies harmonic approximations that break down for the lowest frequencies.⁴⁶ More recent approaches utilize machine learning to predict CVs to advance the sampling of the system based on the dynamics sampled in short trajectories and may provide a powerful alternative.53-53

Here, we explore a different alternative to identify CVs associated with conformational transitions. Our approach is based on the general idea that low-frequency vibrations are likely candidates for reaction coordinates associated with conformational transitions. However, in contrast to harmonic or quasi-harmonic normal-mode analysis, we utilize our recently proposed FREquency-SElective ANharmonic (FRE-SEAN) mode analysis⁴⁶ that eliminates the need for harmonic approximations.⁴⁶ FRESEAN mode analysis is based on a time

correlation formalism⁵⁶ that combines mass-weighted velocity auto- and cross-correlations. Instead of describing all vibrations in a system with a single set of normal modes, FRESEAN mode analysis generates a distinct set of normal modes for each sampled frequency. At each frequency, FRESEAN mode analysis simultaneously quantifies the contribution of each mode to the vibrational density of states (VDoS). This is essential because only a small set of modes accounts for nonzero contributions to the VDoS at any given frequency. In previous work,⁴⁶ we demonstrated that, in contrast to harmonic and quasi-harmonic normal-mode analysis, FRE-SEAN mode analysis successfully isolates collective degrees of freedom that exhibit fluctuations in a narrow band around a selected frequency. Importantly, FRESEAN modes can also isolate collective degrees of freedom associated with zero frequency dynamics that describe diffusive motion or anharmonic low-frequency-vibrations.

In this study, we report the first enhanced sampling simulations with CVs obtained from FRESEAN mode analysis in combination with well-tempered metadynamics simulations.⁵⁷ We use alanine dipeptide as a test system, which is often used to test enhanced sampling methods^{54,58,59} and exhibits transitions between multiple conformational states. The associated kinetic barriers are sufficiently high to prevent efficient sampling in standard molecular dynamics simulations on the submicrosecond time scale at room temperature. In contrast to more complex systems, the selection of appropriate CVs is straightforward in this case. Apart from methyl group rotations, the only dynamic degrees of freedom are the two Ramachandran angles formed by the peptide bonds with the central alanine residue. The challenge is to identify similarly suitable CVs (or even better-performing CVs with respect to enhanced sampling simulations) in an automated fashion that is transferable to more complex systems. As shown in the following, FRESEAN mode analysis of short trajectory fragments containing only local fluctuations reliably identify CVs that enable highly efficient sampling of conformational space in biased simulations. Notably, we demonstrate that free energy surfaces spanned by these CVs feature significantly lower barriers than the corresponding free energy surfaces obtained in the space spanned by the Ramachandran angles.

METHODS

Unbiased Simulations. Alanine dipeptide consists of a central alanine residue with acetyl and N-methylamide capping groups that form a peptide bond with the N- and C-terminus, respectively. The peptide was modeled with the AMBER99SB-ILDN force field⁶⁰ and solvated with 700 TIP3P⁶¹ water molecules in a 30 Å X 30 Å X 30 Å cubic box. All molecular dynamics simulations were performed with the GROMACS 2020.4⁶² software package. The initial energy system was first minimized with a steepest descent algorithm followed by an equilibration in the isobaric-isothermal (NPT) ensemble at 300 K and 1 bar for 100 ps. For equilibration, we used the Berendsen weak-coupling barostat⁶³ with a 2.0 ps time constant to maintain the system pressure, while the temperature was controlled with an ensemble-preserving velocityrescale algorithm⁶⁴ with a time constant of 0.1 ps. This was followed by a production simulation of 10 ns length in the NPT ensemble, in which temperature and pressure were controlled by a Nosé-Hoover thermostat^{65,66} with a 1.0 ps time constant and a Parrinello-Rahman barostat⁶⁷ with a 2.0 ps time constant. No constraints for intramolecular bonds or

angles of the peptide were applied and the simulation time step was set to 0.5 fs to smoothly integrate resulting mid-infrared vibrations. Short-ranged electrostatic and Lennard-Jones interactions were treated with a 10 Å real–space cutoff with energy and pressure corrections for dispersion interactions. Long–ranged electrostatic interactions were treated with the Particle Mesh Ewald algorithm,⁶⁸ using a 1.2 Å grid. Coordinates and velocities were stored every 4 fs and used for the subsequent analysis.

FRESEAN Mode Analysis of Trajectory Fragments. To analyze equilibrium fluctuations of the peptide, we first analyzed the time evolution of the peptide backbone dihedrals in the unbiased simulation. We then extracted two trajectory fragments of 700 ps (C₁) and 400 ps (C₂) length that describe fluctuations within two distinct conformational states of the peptide but no transitions between them. We then performed FRESEAN mode analysis as described in our previous work⁴⁶ independently for both trajectory fragments. For the FRESEAN mode analysis,⁴⁶ we rotated coordinates and velocities of the peptide trajectory into a common frame of reference and computed a matrix of mass–weighted time cross-correlations between velocities for all degrees of freedom *i* and *j* of the peptide (including autocorrelations for *i* = *j*) with a maximum lag time of 2.0 ps:

$$C_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{i},ij}(\tau) = \langle \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{i}(t)\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{j}(t+\tau) \rangle_{t}$$
⁽¹⁾

Here, the angular brackets signify ensemble–averaging over the simulation time, τ defines the correlation lag time, and the mass-weighting of the correlations is included via weighted velocities \tilde{v} . $\tilde{v}(t) = \sqrt{m} \cdot v(t)$. Each velocity time correlation matrix element is then Fourier transformed to obtain a frequency-dependent velocity correlation matrix, $\mathbf{C}_{\tilde{v}}(\omega)$:

$$C_{\bar{v},ij}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(i\omega\tau) C_{\bar{v},ij}(\tau) d\tau$$
⁽²⁾

Notably, the trace of $C_{\tilde{v}}(\omega)$, i.e., the sum of Fourier transformed mass-weighted velocity auto correlations, is directly proportional to the vibrational density of states (VDoS).^{69–71}

$$VDoS(\omega) = \frac{2}{k_{\rm B}T} \sum_{i} C_{\bar{\mathbf{v}},ii}(\omega)$$
(3)

The eigenvectors and eigenvalues of this matrix can be computed for any of the sampled frequencies. As described in ref 46., the eigenvalues obtained for a given frequency ω describe the contribution of the corresponding eigenvectors to the vibrational density of states (VDoS) at frequency ω .

Here, we focused our analysis on vibrational modes contributing to the VDoS at zero frequency. At zero frequency, the FRESEAN modes (eigenvectors) with the six largest eigenvalues describe rigid-body translations and rotations of the alanine dipeptide (see Figure S1 and Figure S2 of the Supporting Information, S1). The remaining eigenvectors with nonzero eigenvalues describe vibrations with significant contributions to the zero-frequency spectrum, which use as an indication of anharmonic properties. For both trajectroy fragments (states C_1 and C_2), we select nontranslational and nonrotational FRESEAN modes at zero frequency with the two largest eigenvalues (modes 7 and 8) as CVs for enhanced sampling simulations described below.

Metadynamics Simulations. For each of the conformational states C_1 and C_2 , we performed an independent

7114

enhanced sampling simulation using CVs and starting configurations obtained from the corresponding trajectory fragments of 700 and 400 ps length, respectively. As described above, we selected eigenvectors 7 and 8 of the cross correlation matrix $\mathbf{C}_{\tilde{v}}(\omega)$ at zero frequency as CVs in each case, which were combined with the well-tempered (WT) metadynamics simulation protocol⁵⁷ as implemented in the PLUMED 2.6.6⁷² plugin for GROMACS. Implementing vibrational modes obtained from FRESEAN mode analysis as CVs for enhanced sampling in PLUMED is analogous to the use of CVs based on other collective displacement vectors, e.g., harmonic normal modes, principal component modes or time-lagged independent component modes. Additionally we also performed a third WT-metadynamics simulation using the two dihedral angles as CVs. All metadynamics simulations were run for 50 ns in the NPT ensemble using the same general simulation parameters as described above for the equilibrium simulations unless otherwise noted.

Gaussian functions were added to the biasing potential every 1.0 ps with an initial height of 1.0 kJ/mol and a width based on fluctuations along the CVs observed in the equilibrium trajectories. The unitless bias factor was set to 10 for all cases. In the WT-metadynamics simulations, we constrained intramolecular bond lengths using the LINCS algorithm,⁷³ which allowed us to increase the integration time step to 1 fs.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Vibrational Analysis of Unbiased Trajectories. In Figure 1, we illustrate the two dihedral angles phi (ϕ) and



Figure 1. (a) Structure of alanine dipeptide with backbone dihedral angles ϕ and ψ . (b) Time evolution of ϕ and ψ in a 10 ns unbiased simulation with highlighted trajectory fragments selected for two conformational states, C₁ and C₂, in gray.

psi (ψ) of alanine peptide (panel A) and plotted their time evolution during a 10 ns unbiased simulation (panel B). It is apparent that rotations around ψ are fast and transitions between favored states at approximately 170° (conformation C_1) and 0° (conformation C_2) are frequently observed on the time scale of the simulation. In contrast, rotations around ϕ are associated with sufficiently high kinetic barriers that impede sampling in unbiased simulations. Earlier studies show that spontaneous ϕ rotations occur only on the μ s time scale despite the low complexity of the system.⁷⁴

Selecting the peptide dihedrals as CVs to accelerate the exploration of conformational space is a trivial choice for alanine dipeptide. However, this system also allows us to test whether low-frequency anharmonic vibrations obtained with the FRESEAN mode analysis formalism can be used to generate suitable CVs without prior information. The latter is of particular interest for more complex systems such as proteins, for which the identification of CVs associated with conformational transitions is highly nontrivial.

Our goal is to enable the generation of suitable CVs with as little information as possible. We thus limit our vibrational analysis of alanine dipeptide to short fragments of the 10 ns trajectory in Figure 1B that exclusively describe local fluctuations within a single conformational state, i.e., either C_1 or C_2 . We highlighted two selected trajectory fragments in Figure 1B that are 700 ps (C_1) and 400 ps (C_2) in length.

We then performed FRESEAN mode analysis independently for these two trajectory fragments. First, we plotted the VDoS of the alanine dipeptide for C_1 or C_2 at far-infrared frequencies in Figure 2A, which we computed from velocity time auto



Figure 2. (A) Vibrational density of states (VDoS) of alanine dipeptide from 0 to 1000 cm⁻¹ computed from trajectory fragments C_1 and C_2 . (B) Normalized eigenvalues of the frequency-dependent velocity cross-correlation matrix for 0 THz frequency computed for C_1 and C_2 .

correlation functions as defined in eq 3. While the two conformers exhibit slightly distinct vibrations for wavenumbers greater than 200 cm⁻¹, they share a broad vibrational band with a peak at \approx 50 cm⁻¹.

We then compute the frequency-dependent matrix of velocity time auto and cross correlations for the peptide as defined in eq 1 to identify collective modes contributing to the VDoS at each frequency.⁴⁶ Here, we focus on the eigenvectors of the correlation matrix at zero frequency, which isolate collective degrees of freedom associated with translational/

rotational diffusion and low-frequency vibrations.⁴⁶ The corresponding eigenvalues (shown in Figure 2B) quantify the contribution of each eigenvector (FRESEAN mode) to the zero frequency VDoS. The eigenvalues decrease rapidly with increasing eigenvector index. As a consequence, 95% of the zero frequency VDoS in Figure 2A are associated with fluctuations along the first 8 FRESEAN modes at zero frequency as shown in Figure 2B.

The first 6 modes describe rigid body translations and rotations of the peptide as shown in Figures S1 and S2 in the Supporting Information (SI). Modes 7 and 8 describe low-frequency vibrations with peak frequencies between 50 and 100 cm⁻¹ and nonzero intensities at zero frequency as shown in Figure 3. In panels A and C, we plot the isolated 1D-VDoS



Figure 3. (A,C) 1D-VDoS for projections of trajectory fragments C_1 (red) and C_2 (blue) on FRESEAN modes 7 (A) and 8 (C) obtained at zero frequency. The zero frequency contribution to the total VDoS of each mode is highlighted (black circle). (B,D) Visualization of the FRESEAN modes 7 (B) and 8 (D) as displacement vectors relative to the average structure observed in trajectory fragments C_1 (top, red frame) and C_2 (bottom, blue frame).

for fluctuations along modes 7 and 8, respectively, as observed in both analyzed trajectory fragments for conformational states C_1 and C_2 . The 1D-VDoS are calculated from time auto correlations of projections of weighted velocities ($\tilde{v} = \sqrt{(m)}v$) of the peptide atoms on a given FRESEAN mode **q** with components q_{i} .

$$\dot{q}(t) = \sum_{i} q_{i} \tilde{v}_{i}(t)$$

$$VDoS_{q}(\omega) = \frac{2}{k_{\rm B}T} \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(i\omega\tau) \langle \dot{q}(t) \dot{q}(t+\tau) \rangle_{t} d\tau \right]$$
(5)

As for the FRESEAN mode analysis, weighted velocities \tilde{v} are defined in a reference frame that follows the rotations of

the peptide during the simulation. We note that apart from the broad main peak, the 1D-VDoS for all four vibrational modes (modes 7 and 8 for C_1 and C_2) are free from contributions of high-frequency vibrations of the peptide at frequencies outside the range shown in Figure 3. As discussed in our previous work and in contrast to harmonic or quasi-harmonic mode analysis, FRESEAN mode analysis can be used to successfully isolate low-frequency vibrations of molecular systems.⁴⁶

The broad line shape of the peaks in the 1D-VDoS and the intensity at zero frequency (proportional to the eigenvalue of $\mathbf{C}_{\tilde{v}}(0)$) can be interpreted as indicators of an anharmonic vibration. We visualized the displacement vectors associated with each vibrational mode in panels B and D of Figure 3, which allow for a qualitative characterization of the nature of the vibrations. For mode 7, in both the C_1 and C_2 conformations, the dominant feature of the displacement vectors are opposing motions of the carbonyl oxygen and amide hydrogen in the N-terminal peptide bond, which implies a rotation of the ϕ angle (see Figure 1A). Likewise, displacement vectors for mode 8 in both conformations describe opposing motions of the carbonyl oxygen and amide hydrogen in the C-terminal peptide bond as expected for a rotation of the ψ angle. While each vibrational mode describes a collective motion with contributions from all peptide atoms, both dihedral angles of the peptide clearly have major contributions. The latter is significant because this information did not enter the analysis and we explicitly analyzed trajectory fragments that did not exhibit large amplitude fluctuations of the dihedrals. Hence, the FRESEAN mode analysis identified anharmonic vibrational modes associated with both dihedral angles without prior information purely from local fluctuations. Notably, this was repeated independently for local fluctuations in conformation C_1 and conformation C_2 . We further note in passing that performing the analysis on the entire 10 ns simulation trajectory results in modes similar to the modes of conformation C1. The latter is most frequently observed in the trajectory (see Figure 1B) and thus contributes most to velocity fluctuations accumulated in the corresponding correlation matrix used for FRESEAN mode analysis.

Enhanced Sampling Simulations along Anharmonic Vibrations. We then tested whether the anharmonic vibrations identified with the FRESEAN mode analysis are suitable CVs in enhanced sampling simulations. Specifically, we tested whether CVs based on these vibrations enable efficient sampling of the conformational space of alanine dipeptide. For this purpose, we performed a set of three independent well-tempered WT-metadynamics simulations as described in section. Two simulations started from conformations C_1 and C_2 , respectively, and used the corresponding zero frequency FRESEAN modes 7 and 8 as CVs. The third simulation was performed as a reference and used the dihedral angles ϕ and ψ as CVs. This simulation was initiated from a conformation corresponding to C_1 , which is most frequently observed in the 10 ns equilibrium trajectory (see Figure 1B).

Free energy surfaces obtained from the first two simulations, which use the FRESEAN modes of conformations C_1 and C_2 to define the biasing potential, are displayed in Figure 4 panels A and B. Differences between both free energy surfaces are expected, because distinct sets of vibrational modes obtained from distinct trajectory fragments corresponding to conformations C_1 and C_2 , as well as distinct reference structures, are used to define the projection of atomic coordinates into the FRESEAN mode space. To illustrate that both simulations



Figure 4. Free-energy surfaces obtained from metadynamics simulations with biasing potentials defined by CVs based on FRESEAN modes. (A,B) Free energy surfaces obtained directly in metadynamics simulations based on CVs defined by modes obtained from trajectory fragments corresponding to conformations C_1 and C_2 , respectively. (C,D) Free energy surfaces obtained after unbiasing the metadynamics trajectories generated with CVs obtained from conformations C_2 and C_1 and projecting them into the space defined by CVs obtained from conformations C_1 and C_2 , respectively.

generate equivalent information, we unbiased the metadynamics simulation trajectory obtained for C_2 and projected the resulting weighted conformational ensembles into the CVspace described by vibrational modes of conformation C_1 and vice versa. For this purpose, we computed the thermodynamic weight w_k of each trajectory time frame (t_k) based on its free energy in vibrational mode space (defined by a projection of displacements relative to a reference structure on FRESEAN modes 7 and 8, denoted in the following as q_7 and q_8).⁷⁵

$$w_k = \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G(q_7(t_k), q_8(t_k))}{k_{\rm B}T}\right) \tag{6}$$

Using these weights, we computed the unbiased probability distributions in alternative sets of coordinates, $p(r_1, r_2)$, and the corresponding free energy surfaces.

$$\Delta G(r_1, r_2) = -k_{\rm B} T \ln[p(r_1, r_2)]$$
(7)

Free energy surfaces obtained after unbiasing simulations generated with CVs obtained for C₂ and projecting into CVs obtained for C_1 and vice versa are shown in Figure 4 panels C and D, respectively. The results show that free energy surfaces obtained after reweighting and projecting are essentially equivalent to the result from direct simulations in a given CV-space. Free energy minima and barriers observed in the direct metadynamics simulations are reproduced by this transformation. Only high free energy states, in this case the edges of the configurational space sampled in a direct metadynamics simulation, are not captured after reweighting and projection of a metadynamics simulation with a biasing potential defined by a distinct set of CVs. This is entirely expected because sampling high free energy states in one coordinate system requires a biasing potential defined in that same coordinate system.



Figure 5. Free energy surfaces in dihedral angle space: (A) metadynamics simulation with biasing potential defined as a function of the dihedral angles (reference) and (B, C) free energy surfaces obtained from unbiased metadynamics trajectories obtained with biasing potentials in FRESEAN mode space.



Figure 6. Mapping of conformations sampled in dihedral angle space into FRESEAN modes space: average RMSDs relative to states 1, 2, and 3 indicated in Figure 5A as a function of FRESEAN modes 7 and 8 obtained from conformations C_1 (A) and C_2 (B).

7117

In Figure 5A, we plotted the free energy surface obtained from the third simulation as a function of the dihedral angles ϕ and ψ used as CVs to define the biasing potential in that case. To allow for direct comparisons between all three simulations, we further plotted free energy surfaces in dihedral angle space in panels B and C of Figure 5, which we obtained after unbiasing and projecting both sets of metadynamics simulations obtained with FRESEAN modes as CVs.

A notable characteristic of the free energy surfaces in Figure 4 is the small magnitude of free energy barriers between distinct minima in the space described by the anharmonic vibrations of conformations C1 and C2. Several free energy minima are observed that are connected to each other with barriers lower than 10 kJ/mol. A single barrier of approximately 25 kJ/mol is observed in Figure 4A (based on conformations C1 and its vibrations) for direct transitions between two states indicated as 2 and 3 (more details on this assignment in the following). However, no equivalent barrier is seen for indirect transitions passing through state 1 or in the free energy surfaces shown in Figure 4B (based on conformations C_2 and its vibrations).

The latter is especially striking in comparison to the free energy surface obtained in our reference simulation with the backbone dihedral angles ϕ and ψ as CVs (Figure 5A). The latter reproduces multiple previous results in the literature 54,58,59 and describes free energy barriers below 10 kJ/ mol for transitions between states indicated as 1 and 2 (equivalent to conformations C1 and C2 observed in the unbiased simulation trajectory in Figure 1) via rotations of ψ . However, the lowest free energy pathway that connects states 1 and 2 with state 3 in dihedral angle space involves a rotation of ϕ with a free energy barrier of 25 kJ/mol.

The simplest explanation for the discrepancy between the observed free energy barriers in Figures 4 and 5A would be that both metadynamics simulations with CVs based on FRESEAN modes did not explore state 3. However, the free energy surfaces obtained after recasting the corresponding metadynamics simulations from FRESEAN mode space into dihedral angle space reproduce the free energy minima associated with each state observed in Figure 5A. This includes the shape of each minimum as well as the relative free energy difference between the minima. Even more noticeable is that the simulations with FRESEAN modes as CVs almost exclusively sample low-free energy regions in dihedral space and avoid high free energy states almost entirely. This includes the free energy barriers associated with transitions into state 3 from either state 1 or state 2 as described in dihedral space, which are poorly sampled in metadynamics simulations based on low-frequency vibrational modes. At first glance, the latter is surprising giving that state 3 itself is sampled very well and the relative free energies of states 1, 2, and 3 are reproduced accurately. Combined with the absence of high free energy barriers in the CV-space describe by low-frequency vibrations in Figure 4, this finding illustrates that alternative transition pathways with low free energy barriers between the conformational states must exist that are not adequately described in dihedral space. This demonstrates that the space spanned by anharmonic low-frequency vibrations identified with the FRESEAN mode analysis describes pathways for conformational changes along which the system experiences minimal restraining forces.

Notably, the absence of high free energy barriers in the space of anharmonic low-frequency vibrations does not contradict the observation of slow kinetics for transitions into state 3 from either state 1 or 2. The dynamics of a system on a lowdimensional free energy space can be described within the framework of the generalized Langevin equation, which contains dynamic parameters (diffusion coefficients) that impact the transition rate and are not characterized here.

To identify how free energy minima in dihedral angle space are mapped into the FRESEAN mode space, we determined the average structure of configurations corresponding to states 1, 2, and 3 in Figure 5A. We then computed the root meansquare displacements (RMSDs) relative to these three structures for all structures observed in the metadynamics simulations in FRESEAN mode space based on C_1 and C_2 , respectively. We averaged the RMSDs for all time frames with identical projections in the corresponding FRESEAN mode space and the results are shown in Figure 6. The result allows a direct mapping of the free energy minima observed in dihedral angle space in Figure 5A onto distinct regions of the free energy surfaces observed in the FRESEAN mode space in Figure 4A and B. The latter was used to label the conformational states 1, 2, and 3 from Figure 5A in panels A and B of Figure 4.

CONCLUSION

The idea to use low-frequency vibrations to guide molecules through conformational space is as old as molecular simulations.^{76–78} However, the latter implies a highly anharmonic potential energy surface along such vibrations and a limiting factor for applications has so far been the lack of theoretical and computational tools to identify vibrations in a regime where harmonic approximations fail. This has changed with the recent development of the FRESEAN mode analysis, which does not rely on harmonic approximations and identifies vibrational modes contributing to the spectrum at any given frequency.⁴⁶ The underlying time correlation formalism remains valid at near-zero as well as zero frequencies where it isolates displacement modes that describe translational and rotational diffusion as well as anharmonic low-frequency vibrations.

Notably, anharmonic low-frequency vibrations associated with conformational transitions can be identified from local fluctuations on short time scales that do not describe any conformational transition events. Here, we demonstrate this for alanine dipeptide for which we identify low-frequency vibrations associated with conformational transitions on nanosecond and microsecond time scales from simulation fragments of 100s of ps length. We were further able to repeat this for trajectory fragments describing local fluctuations in two distinct conformational states. In both cases, we readily identified vibrations describing collective motions that result in dihedral angle rotations to explore the thermally accessible conformational space. Enhanced sampling simulations that utilize these vibrations as CVs to define a biasing potential not only speed up conformational sampling. These simulations result in free energy surfaces in the space defined by lowfrequency vibrational modes that are essentially free from significant free energy barriers. At the same time, these simulations sample the same conformational space that is also explored when dihedral angles are used directly as CVs to define the biasing potential. However, in the latter case, free energy surfaces in dihedral angle space include not only substantially higher free energy barriers but also several high free energy states that are not accessible at room temperature and therefore thermodynamically not relevant.

Despite the simplicity of the test system used here, our results show promise for applications in complex biomolecular systems with unknown conformational dynamics. Specifically, the ability to identify low-frequency anharmonic vibrations associated with local fluctuations on short time scales could substantially improve our ability to sample functional dynamics in enzymes or to identify allosteric mechanisms in drug targets. The latter is particularly important with the current drastic increase in structural information for proteins due to advances of structure prediction algorithms^{79–81} as well cryo-electron microscopy techniques.⁸²

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

1 Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpcb.4c02743.

Spectrum and visualization of translational and rotational modes of alanine dipeptide in conformations C_1 and C_2 (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Matthias Heyden – School of Molecular Sciences, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona 85287, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-7956-5287; Email: mheyden1@ asu.edu

Authors

- Souvik Mondal School of Molecular Sciences, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona 85287, United States
- Michael A. Sauer School of Molecular Sciences, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona 85287, United States

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.jpcb.4c02743

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the National Science Foundation (CHE-2154834) and the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (1R01GM148622-01). The authors acknowledge Research Computing at Arizona State University for providing high performance computing resources that have contributed to the research results reported within this work.

REFERENCES

(1) Maynard Smith, J. Natural selection and the concept of a protein space. *Nature* **1970**, *225*, 563–564.

(2) Anfinsen, C. B. Principles that govern the folding of protein chains. *Science* **1973**, *181*, 223–230.

(3) Redfern, O. C.; Dessailly, B.; Orengo, C. A. Exploring the structure and function paradigm. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* 2008, 18, 394–402.

(4) Henzler-Wildman, K.; Kern, D. Dynamic personalities of proteins. *Nature* **2007**, *450*, 964–972.

(5) Boehr, D. D.; Dyson, H. J.; Wright, P. E. An NMR perspective on enzyme dynamics. *Chem. Rev.* 2006, 106, 3055–3079.

(6) Frauenfelder, H.; Sligar, S. G.; Wolynes, P. G. The energy landscapes and motions of proteins. *Science* **1991**, *254*, 1598–1603.

(7) McManus, J. J.; Charbonneau, P.; Zaccarelli, E.; Asherie, N. The physics of protein self-assembly. *Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2016**, 22, 73–79.

(8) Benkovic, S. J.; Hammes-Schiffer, S. A perspective on enzyme catalysis. *Science* **2003**, *301*, 1196–1202.

(9) Kiss, G.; Çelebi-Ölçüm, N.; Moretti, R.; Baker, D.; Houk, K. Computational enzyme design. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2013, 52, 5700–5725.

(10) Mak, W. S.; Siegel, J. B. Computational enzyme design: Transitioning from catalytic proteins to enzymes. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **2014**, 27, 87–94.

(11) Doerr, S.; Harvey, M.; Noé, F.; De Fabritiis, G. HTMD: high-throughput molecular dynamics for molecular discovery. *J. Chem. Theory and Comput.* **2016**, *12*, 1845–1852.

(12) Dror, R. O.; Green, H. F.; Valant, C.; Borhani, D. W.; Valcourt, J. R.; Pan, A. C.; Arlow, D. H.; Canals, M.; Lane, J. R.; Rahmani, R.; et al. Structural basis for modulation of a G-protein-coupled receptor by allosteric drugs. *Nature* **2013**, *503*, 295–299.

(13) Kennis, J. T.; Larsen, D. S.; Ohta, K.; Facciotti, M. T.; Glaeser, R. M.; Fleming, G. R. Ultrafast protein dynamics of bacteriorhodopsin probed by photon echo and transient absorption spectroscopy. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2002**, *106*, 6067–6080.

(14) Mittermaier, A.; Kay, L. E. New tools provide new insights in NMR studies of protein dynamics. *Science* **2006**, *312*, 224–228.

(15) Cho, H. S.; Dashdorj, N.; Schotte, F.; Graber, T.; Henning, R.; Anfinrud, P. Protein structural dynamics in solution unveiled via 100ps time-resolved x-ray scattering. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* **2010**, *107*, 7281–7286.

(16) Thielges, M. C.; Fayer, M. D. Protein dynamics studied with ultrafast two-dimensional infrared vibrational echo spectroscopy. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2012**, *45*, 1866–1874.

(17) Reppert, M.; Tokmakoff, A. Computational amide I 2D IR spectroscopy as a probe of protein structure and dynamics. *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **2016**, *67*, 359–386.

(18) Ramos, S.; Horness, R. E.; Collins, J. A.; Haak, D.; Thielges, M. C. Site-specific 2D IR spectroscopy: a general approach for the characterization of protein dynamics with high spatial and temporal resolution. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2019**, *21*, 780–788.

(19) Mazal, H.; Haran, G. Single-molecule FRET methods to study the dynamics of proteins at work. *Curr. Opin. Biomed. Eng.* **2019**, *12*, 8–17.

(20) Matsumoto, S.; Ishida, S.; Araki, M.; Kato, T.; Terayama, K.; Okuno, Y. Extraction of protein dynamics information from cryo-EM maps using deep learning. *Nat. Mach. Intell.* **2021**, *3*, 153–160. (21) Hasanbasri, Z.; Moriglioni, N. A.; Saxena, S. Efficient sampling of molecular orientations for Cu (ii)-based DEER on protein labels. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2023**, *25*, 13275–13288.

(22) Wedemeyer, W. J.; Welker, E.; Scheraga, H. A. Proline cis- trans isomerization and protein folding. *Biochemistry* **2002**, *41*, 14637–14644.

(23) Karplus, M.; McCammon, J. A. Molecular dynamics simulations of biomolecules. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* **2002**, *9*, 646–652.

(24) Dror, R. O.; Dirks, R. M.; Grossman, J.; Xu, H.; Shaw, D. E. Biomolecular simulation: A computational microscope for molecular biology. *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* **2012**, *41*, 429–452.

(25) Shaw, D. E.; Adams, P. J.; Azaria, A.; Bank, J. A.; Batson, B.; Bell, A.; Bergdorf, M.; Bhatt, J.; Butts, J. A.; Correia, T.; et al. Anton 3: Twenty microseconds of molecular dynamics simulation before lunch. *Proceedings of the International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis* **2021**, 1–11.

(26) Ayaz, P.; Lyczek, A.; Paung, Y.; Mingione, V. R.; Iacob, R. E.; de Waal, P. W.; Engen, J. R.; Seeliger, M. A.; Shan, Y.; Shaw, D. E. Structural mechanism of a drug-binding process involving a large conformational change of the protein target. *Nat. Commun.* **2023**, *14*, 1885.

(27) Greisman, J. B.; Willmore, L.; Yeh, C. Y.; Giordanetto, F.; Shahamadtar, S.; Nisonoff, H.; Maragakis, P.; Shaw, D. E. Discovery and Validation of the Binding Poses of Allosteric Fragment Hits to Protein Tyrosine Phosphatase 1b: From Molecular Dynamics Simulations to X-ray Crystallography. J. Chem. Inf. and Model **2023**, 63, 2644–2650.

(28) Bernardi, R. C.; Melo, M. C.; Schulten, K. Enhanced sampling techniques in molecular dynamics simulations of biological systems. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Gen. Subj.* **2015**, *1850*, 872–877.

(29) Mitsutake, A.; Sugita, Y.; Okamoto, Y. Generalized-ensemble algorithms for molecular simulations of biopolymers. *Biomolecules* **2001**, *60*, 96–123.

(30) Torrie, G. M.; Valleau, J. P. Nonphysical sampling distributions in Monte Carlo free-energy estimation: Umbrella sampling. *J. Comput. Phys.* **1977**, *23*, 187–199.

(31) Isralewitz, B.; Baudry, J.; Gullingsrud, J.; Kosztin, D.; Schulten, K. Steered molecular dynamics investigations of protein function. *J. Mol. Graph. Model.* **2001**, *19*, 13–25.

(32) Schlitter, J.; Engels, M.; Krüger, P. Targeted molecular dynamics: a new approach for searching pathways of conformational transitions. *J. Mol. Graph.* **1994**, *12*, 84–89.

(33) Hénin, J.; Chipot, C. Overcoming free energy barriers using unconstrained molecular dynamics simulations. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2004, *121*, 2904–2914.

(34) Laio, A.; Parrinello, M. Escaping free-energy minima. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* **2002**, *99*, 12562–12566.

(35) Sugita, Y.; Okamoto, Y. Replica-exchange molecular dynamics method for protein folding. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1999**, *314*, 141–151.

(36) Hamelberg, D.; Mongan, J.; McCammon, J. A. Accelerated molecular dynamics: a promising and efficient simulation method for biomolecules. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2004**, *120*, 11919–11929.

(37) Wang, L.; Friesner, R. A.; Berne, B. Replica exchange with solute scaling: a more efficient version of replica exchange with solute tempering (REST2). *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2011**, *115*, 9431–9438.

(38) Miao, Y.; Feher, V. A.; McCammon, J. A. Gaussian accelerated molecular dynamics: Unconstrained enhanced sampling and free energy calculation. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2015**, *11*, 3584–3595.

(39) Wang, J.; Arantes, P. R.; Bhattarai, A.; Hsu, R. V.; Pawnikar, S.; Huang, Y.-m. M.; Palermo, G.; Miao, Y. Gaussian accelerated molecular dynamics: Principles and applications. *WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci.* **2021**, *11*, No. e1521.

(40) Zwier, M. C.; Adelman, J. L.; Kaus, J. W.; Pratt, A. J.; Wong, K. F.; Rego, N. B.; Suárez, E.; Lettieri, S.; Wang, D. W.; Grabe, M.; et al. WESTPA: An interoperable, highly scalable software package for weighted ensemble simulation and analysis. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2015**, *11*, 800–809.

(41) Allen, R. J.; Valeriani, C.; Ten Wolde, P. R. Forward flux sampling for rare event simulations. *J. Phys. Condens. Mater.* **2009**, *21*, 463102.

(42) Go, N.; Noguti, T.; Nishikawa, T. Dynamics of a small globular protein in terms of low-frequency vibrational modes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* **1983**, *80*, 3696–3700.

(43) Aalten, D. v.; Findlay, J.; Amadei, A.; Berendsen, H. Essential dynamics of the cellular retinol-binding protein evidence for ligandinduced conformational changes. *Protein Eng. Des. Sel.* **1995**, *8*, 1129–1135.

(44) Hayward, S.; Kitao, A.; Gō, N. Harmonicity and anharmonicity in protein dynamics: a normal mode analysis and principal component analysis. *Proteins. Struct. Funct. Bioinf.* **1995**, *23*, 177–186.

(45) Ma, J. Usefulness and limitations of normal mode analysis in modeling dynamics of biomolecular complexes. *Structure* **2005**, *13*, 373–380.

(46) Sauer, M. A.; Heyden, M. Frequency-Selective Anharmonic Mode Analysis of Thermally Excited Vibrations in Proteins. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2023**, *19*, 5481–5490.

(47) García, A. E. Large-amplitude nonlinear motions in proteins. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1992**, *68*, 2696.

(48) Amadei, A.; Linssen, A. B.; Berendsen, H. J. Essential dynamics of proteins. *Proteins Struct. Funct. Bioinf.* **1993**, *17*, 412–425.

(49) Naritomi, Y.; Fuchigami, S. Slow dynamics of a protein backbone in molecular dynamics simulation revealed by timestructure based independent component analysis. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *139*, 21502.

(50) Noé, F.; Clementi, C. Kinetic distance and kinetic maps from molecular dynamics simulation. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2015**, *11*, 5002–5011.

(51) Levy, R.; Srinivasan, A.; Olson, W.; McCammon, J. Quasiharmonic method for studying very low frequency modes in proteins. *Biopolymers* **1984**, *23*, 1099–1112.

(52) Levy, R. M.; De la Luz Rojas, O.; Friesner, R. A. Quasiharmonic method for calculating vibrational spectra from classical simulations on multi-dimensional anharmonic potential surfaces. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1984**, *88*, 4233–4238.

(53) Ribeiro, J. M. L.; Bravo, P.; Wang, Y.; Tiwary, P. Reweighted autoencoded variational Bayes for enhanced sampling (RAVE). *J. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *149*, 072301.

(54) Wang, Y.; Ribeiro, J. M. L.; Tiwary, P. Past-future information bottleneck for sampling molecular reaction coordinate simultaneously with thermodynamics and kinetics. *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10*, 3573.

(55) Wang, Y.; Ribeiro, J. M. L.; Tiwary, P. Machine learning approaches for analyzing and enhancing molecular dynamics simulations. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **2020**, *61*, 139–145.

(56) McQuarrie, D. *Statistical mechanics*; University Science Books: Sausalito, CA, 2000; pp 222–223.

(57) Barducci, A.; Bussi, G.; Parrinello, M. Well-tempered metadynamics: a smoothly converging and tunable free-energy method. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2008**, *100*, 020603.

(58) Lincoff, J.; Sasmal, S.; Head-Gordon, T. Comparing generalized ensemble methods for sampling of systems with many degrees of freedom. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, *145*, 174107.

(59) Kumar, A.; Arantes, P. R.; Saha, A.; Palermo, G.; Wong, B. M. GPU-Enhanced DFTB Metadynamics for Efficiently Predicting Free Energies of Biochemical Systems. *Molecules* **2023**, *28*, 1277.

(60) Lindorff-Larsen, K.; Piana, S.; Palmo, K.; Maragakis, P.; Klepeis, J. L.; Dror, R. O.; Shaw, D. E. Improved side-chain torsion potentials for the Amber ff99SB protein force field. *Proteins* **2010**, *78*, 1950–1958.

(61) Jorgensen, W. L.; Chandrasekhar, J.; Madura, J. D.; Impey, R. W.; Klein, M. L. Comparison of simple potential functions for simulating liquid water. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1983**, *79*, 926–935.

(62) Abraham, M. J.; Murtola, T.; Schulz, R.; Páll, S.; Smith, J. C.; Hess, B.; Lindahl, E. GROMACS: High performance molecular simulations through multi-level parallelism from laptops to super-computers. *SoftwareX* 2015, *1*, 19–25.

(63) Berendsen, H. J.; Postma, J. v.; Van Gunsteren, W. F.; DiNola, A.; Haak, J. R. Molecular dynamics with coupling to an external bath. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1984**, *81*, 3684–3690.

(64) Bussi, G.; Donadio, D.; Parrinello, M. Canonical sampling through velocity rescaling. J. Chem. Phys. 2007, 126, 014101.

(65) Nosé, S. A molecular dynamics method for simulations in the canonical ensemble. *Mol. Phys.* **1984**, *52*, 255–268.

(66) Hoover, W. G. Canonical dynamics: Equilibrium phase-space distributions. *Phys. Rev. A* 1985, 31, 1695.

(67) Parrinello, M.; Rahman, A. Polymorphic transitions in single crystals: A new molecular dynamics method. *J. Appl. Phys.* **1981**, *52*, 7182–7190.

(68) Darden, T.; York, D.; Pedersen, L. Particle Mesh Ewald: An N log (N) Method for Ewald Sums in Large Systems. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, *98*, 10089–10092.

(69) Chakraborty, S.; Sinha, S.-K.; Bandyopadhyay, S. Low-frequency vibrational spectrum of water in the hydration layer of a protein: A molecular dynamics simulation study. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2007**, *111*, 13626–13631.

(70) Heyden, M.; Sun, J.; Funkner, S.; Mathias, G.; Forbert, H.; Havenith, M.; Marx, D. Dissecting the THz spectrum of liquid water from first principles via correlations in time and space. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* **2010**, *107*, 12068–12073.

(71) Mathias, G.; Baer, M. D. Generalized normal coordinates for the vibrational analysis of molecular dynamics simulations. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2011**, *7*, 2028–2039.

(72) Tribello, G. A.; Bonomi, M.; Branduardi, D.; Camilloni, C.; Bussi, G. PLUMED 2: New feathers for an old bird. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **2014**, *185*, 604–613.

(73) Hess, B.; Bekker, H.; Berendsen, H. J.; Fraaije, J. G. LINCS: A linear constraint solver for molecular simulations. *J. Comput. Chem.* **1997**, *18*, 1463–1472.

(74) Smith, P. E. The alanine dipeptide free energy surface in solution. J. Chem. Phys. **1999**, 111, 5568-5579.

(75) Branduardi, D.; Bussi, G.; Parrinello, M. Metadynamics with adaptive Gaussians. J. Chem. Theory Comput. **2012**, *8*, 2247–2254.

(76) Levy, R.; Srinivasan, A.; Olson, W.; McCammon, J. Quasiharmonic method for studying very low frequency modes in proteins. *Biopolymers* **1984**, *23*, 1099–1112.

(77) Levitt, M.; Sander, C.; Stern, P. S. Protein normal-mode dynamics: trypsin inhibitor, crambin, ribonuclease and lysozyme. *J. Mol. Biol.* **1985**, *181*, 423–447.

(78) Zheng, W.; Brooks, B. R. Normal-modes-based prediction of protein conformational changes guided by distance constraints. *Biophysical journal* **2005**, *88*, 3109–3117.

(79) Senior, A. W.; Evans, R.; Jumper, J.; Kirkpatrick, J.; Sifre, L.; Green, T.; Qin, C.; Žídek, A.; Nelson, A. W.; Bridgland, A.; et al. Improved protein structure prediction using potentials from deep learning. *Nature* **2020**, *577*, 706–710.

(80) Jumper, J.; Evans, R.; Pritzel, A.; Green, T.; Figurnov, M.; Ronneberger, O.; Tunyasuvunakool, K.; Bates, R.; Žídek, A.; Potapenko, A.; et al. Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* **2021**, *596*, 583–589.

(81) Anishchenko, I.; Baek, M.; Park, H.; Hiranuma, N.; Kim, D. E.; Dauparas, J.; Mansoor, S.; Humphreys, I. R.; Baker, D. Protein tertiary structure prediction and refinement using deep learning and Rosetta in CASP14. *Proteins Struct. Funct. Bioinf.* **2021**, *89*, 1722–1733.

(82) Yip, K. M.; Fischer, N.; Paknia, E.; Chari, A.; Stark, H. Atomicresolution protein structure determination by cryo-EM. *Nature* **2020**, *587*, 157–161.