

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction.....	1
Natural Setting.....	2
Previous Archaeological Research.....	4
Theoretical Background.....	7
Archaeological Methods and Stratigraphy.....	10
Unit and Feature Descriptions.....	12
Archaeological Features.....	21
Analysis of Sediments from Site 10-EL-215.....	23
Methods.....	24
Laboratory and Analytical Procedures.....	33
Material Culture.....	34
Functional Analysis.....	37
Use Wear Analysis.....	37
Raw Material Frequencies.....	39
Lithic Raw Material and Flake Size Analysis.....	39
Faunal Analysis.....	39
XRF Analysis.....	40
Conclusions.....	40
References Cited.....	47
Appendix I. Artifacts Distribution by Type, Unit, and Level.....	59
Appendix II. Faunal Identification.....	67
Appendix III. Frequency Distribution of Non-Artifactual Remains by Unit.....	73
Appendix IV. Results of X-Ray Fluorescence Analysis.....	89



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. General location map of site 10-EL-215.....	1
Figure 2. Overview of site area looking north.....	2
Figure 3. Plan map showing major portion of excavation for years 2011 and 2012.....	11
Figure 4. Block excavation 2012.....	12
Figure 5. 2011 block excavation looking south.....	15
Figure 6. West wall profile of unit 24-25N 3-4E.....	20
Figure 7. Photo showing Feature 6, 1987 test unit.....	22
Figure 8. Line graphs depicting the percentages of different particle sizes per excavation unit or auger probe.....	27
Figure 9. Particle size by depth for 7-8N 3-4W.....	29
Figure 10. Particle size by depth for auger probe 68 m 50 NW (25E).....	29
Figure 11. Tertiary diagram depicting sand, silt, and clay percentages of sediment textures. The red circle indicates the designation of the sediment samples taken from 10-EL-215. (Diagram taken from <a href="http://soils.usda.gov/technical/manual/contents/chapter3.html">http://soils.usda.gov/technical/manual/contents/chapter3.html</a> ).....	30
Figure 12. Profile of block excavation. Photo facing north.....	33
Figure 13. a-b, Humboldt Points; c, Small Straight Based Point; d, Small Convex Bladed Point; e, Small Corner-Notched; f, Desert Side-Notched Point.....	34
Figure 14. a, Large Triangular Bladed Corner-Notched Point; b, Large Triangular Corner-Notched Concave Base Point.....	35
Figure 15. a-b, Thick Bifaces; c-d, Knives.....	35
Figure 16. a-f, Cores.....	36
Figure 17. Basin Mortars.....	36
Figure 18. Distribution of artifacts by functional category.....	37
Figure 19. Frequency distribution of raw material years 2011-2012.....	39
Figure 20. Frequency distribution of debris by size and raw material.....	39
Figure 21. Frequency of green and charred bone and shell.....	39
Figure 22. Frequency of Brown's Bench and Cannonball Mountain specimens.....	40



## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1. Results of Munsell Color Tests and Calcium Carbene Content Tests from the 25 Samples Selected for Analysis.....	26
TABLE 2. Results of Particle Size Analysis, Numbers Represent the Percentage of each Size Category in the Samples.....	30
TABLE 3. XRF Analysis.....	40
TABLE 4. Artifact Frequencies for Five Area Sites.....	42
TABLE 5. Debitage Counts for Raw Material for Five Local Sites.....	42
TABLE 6. Frequency of Flake Sizes.....	43
TABLE 7. Kelly's (2001) Index of High and Low Residential Mobility.....	46
TABLE 8. Summary of Index Correlations for Swenson (10-EL-1417), King Hill Creek (10-EL-110), 10-EL-216, 10-CN-6, and Knox (10-EL-1577) Sites.....	46



## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First and foremost, we wish to thank Mr. Jerry Caven for allowing us to conduct excavations on his property. We greatly appreciate his support of the field school. We extend our thanks to the field school students who participated in the 2011 and 2012. Students included Lena Allen, David Christensen, Keitha Gamble, Nychele Haskell, Melissa Kidd, Beki Jumonville, Misty Madison-Dixon, Shawn Roberts, Michael Stover, Keri Urbanski, Chris Arentz, Kayla Bartling, Katharine Dunley, James Eschenbrenner, Martin Hall, Joshua Hood, Jeremy Johnson, Chris Lundgren, Katie McBeth, Jessica Vogel, and Cheryl Wonder. Niki Nikoloff, Louisa Dagggers, and Samuel Smith served as Graduate Assistants in 2011 and 2012 respectively. Lastly, we very much appreciate the support of the Three Island State Park staff and John Frank, Park Director, who allowed us to camp within the park, use park facilities, and provided us laboratory space in the Oregon Trail Interpretive Center.

MGP  
CAW

